

IMPROVED UPPER BOUNDS FOR THE NUMBER OF POINTS ON CURVES OVER FINITE FIELDS

EVERETT W. HOWE AND KRISTIN E. LAUTER

ABSTRACT. We give new arguments that improve the known upper bounds on the maximal number $N_q(g)$ of rational points of a curve of genus g over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, for a number of pairs (q, g) . Given a pair (q, g) and an integer N , we determine the possible zeta functions of genus- g curves over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with N points, and then deduce properties of the curves from their zeta functions. In many cases we can show that a genus- g curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with N points must have a low-degree map to another curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and often this is enough to give us a contradiction. In particular, we are able to provide eight previously unknown values of $N_q(g)$, namely: $N_4(5) = 17$, $N_4(10) = 27$, $N_8(9) = 45$, $N_{16}(4) = 45$, $N_{128}(4) = 215$, $N_3(6) = 14$, $N_9(10) = 54$, and $N_{27}(4) = 64$. Our arguments also allow us to give a non-computer-intensive proof of the recent result of Savitt that there are no genus-4 curves over $\mathbb{F}_8$ having exactly 27 rational points. Furthermore, we show that there is an infinite sequence of q 's such that for every g with $0 < g < \log_2 q$, the difference between the Weil-Serre bound on $N_q(g)$ and the actual value of $N_q(g)$ is at least $g/2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The number N of points on a smooth, absolutely irreducible curve of genus g over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ is bounded by

$$q + 1 - 2g\sqrt{q} \leq N \leq q + 1 + 2g\sqrt{q},$$

an estimate given by André Weil in the 1940s. In 1983, Serre improved this bound to

$$q + 1 - gm \leq N \leq q + 1 + gm, \quad \text{where } m = [2\sqrt{q}].$$

Serre also introduced the *explicit formulæ method*, which uses numerical conditions on the number of points on a curve over extensions of the ground field to obtain improved bounds on N , at least when g is large compared to q (specifically, when $g > (q - \sqrt{q})/2$). Oesterlé optimized the explicit formulæ method, and the resulting bounds on N are the best possible bounds that can be obtained formally using only Weil's "Riemann hypothesis" for curves and the fact that for every $d \geq 0$ the number of places of degree d on a curve is non-negative. But the method does not take the geometry of the curves into account, and for this reason it is natural to suspect that the Weil-Serre-Oesterlé bounds may not be optimal. Indeed, Serre [23] and others [5, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, 20, 21, 22, 26, 28, 31] have shown that in certain cases these bounds are not attained. However, in many other cases the bounds provided by the explicit formulæ method remain the best known, and significant effort has

Date: 17 December 2006.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11G20; Secondary 14G05, 14G10, 14G15.

Key words and phrases. Curve, rational point, zeta function, Weil bound, Serre bound, Oesterlé bound.

been made to determine whether or not they are met — see the tables of van der Geer and van der Vlugt [6], which summarize the work of many authors. The purpose of this paper is to provide some new techniques that show that in many cases the current upper bounds cannot be met. In particular, we list in Tables 1 and 2 the improvements we obtain to the tables in [6]. In Table 3 we list the values of q and g for which our improved upper bound meets the known lower bound. (The tables in [6] are updated frequently; the latest version can be found at

<http://www.science.uva.nl/~geer/>

The version of the tables that we will refer to in this paper is dated 18 January 2002, and is available at the URL mentioned below in the acknowledgments.)

Our improved bounds are due to the fact that some zeta functions that satisfy the numerical conditions of the explicit formulæ method are forbidden by a combination of geometrical and numerical conditions. Our approach is in the spirit of [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, 23] where lists of possible zeta functions were compiled and geometric arguments were applied for the purpose of improving the bounds.

The main theorem that we use to improve the known upper bounds deals with a numerical invariant of pairs of abelian varieties. Suppose A_1 and A_2 are abelian varieties over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let F and V denote, respectively, the Frobenius and Verschiebung endomorphisms of $A_1 \times A_2$. Given an element α of the subring $\mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ of $\text{End}(A_1 \times A_2)$, we let g_1 and g_2 be the minimal polynomials of α restricted to A_1 and A_2 , respectively, and we define $r(\alpha)$ to be the resultant of g_1 and g_2 . Define $s(A_1, A_2)$ to be the greatest common divisor of the set $\{r(\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[F, V]\}$. Note that if A_1 and A_2 have an isogeny factor in common then $r(\alpha) = 0$ for every α , so that $s(A_1, A_2) = \infty$. On the other hand, if A_1 and A_2 share no common isogeny factors then $r(F) \neq 0$ by the Honda-Tate theorem [30], so that $s(A_1, A_2) < \infty$. In other words, $\text{Hom}(A_1, A_2) = \{0\}$ if and only if $s(A_1, A_2) < \infty$. Also note that the value of $s(A_1, A_2)$ depends only on the isogeny classes of A_1 and A_2 .

Theorem 1. *Let A_1 and A_2 be nonzero abelian varieties over $\mathbb{F}_q$.*

- (a) *If $s(A_1, A_2) = 1$ then there is no curve C over $\mathbb{F}_q$ whose Jacobian is isogenous to $A_1 \times A_2$.*
- (b) *Suppose $s(A_1, A_2) = 2$. If C is a curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ whose Jacobian is isogenous to $A_1 \times A_2$, then there is a degree-2 map from C to another curve D over $\mathbb{F}_q$ whose Jacobian is isogenous to either A_1 or A_2 .*

One can get upper and lower bounds on $s(A_1, A_2)$ by using the following result. (Recall that the *radical* of a nonzero integer is the product of its prime divisors.)

Theorem 2. *Suppose A_1 and A_2 are abelian varieties over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $s(A_1, A_2) \neq 0$. Then $s(A_1, A_2)$ divides $r(F + V)$ and is divisible by the radical of $r(F + V)$.*

Theorem 2 shows that Theorem 1(a) is equivalent to a result of Serre [13, 23] that states that the Jacobian of a curve is never isogenous to a product $A_1 \times A_2$ of nonzero abelian varieties for which $r(F + V) = \pm 1$.

It is not clear whether there are any similarly strong conclusions to be drawn from other values of $s(A_1, A_2)$. However, if we make some assumptions about A_1 and A_2 , we can prove that certain other values of $s(A_1, A_2)$ prohibit the existence of a curve with Jacobian isogenous to $A_1 \times A_2$ — see Propositions 11 and 13 and Corollaries 12 and 14.

Theorem 1, combined with previously known results and some straightforward facts about degree-2 maps of curves, allows us to greatly restrict the possible zeta

q	genus	old upper bound	new upper bound
4	5	18	17
4	10	28	27
4	11	30	29
8	5	32	30
8	7	39	38
8	8	43	42
8	9	47	45
8	10	50	49
8	11	54	53
8	15	68	67
16	4	46	45
16	5	54	53
16	7	70	69
16	8	76	75
16	11	92	91
16	13	103	102
16	14	108	107
32	$4 \leq g \leq 8$	$q + 1 + gm - 2$	$q + 1 + gm - 3$
32	$9 \leq g \leq 15$	$q + 1 + gm - 2$	$q + 1 + gm - 4$
64	$11 \leq g \leq 27$ and $g \neq 12$	$q + 1 + gm - 3$	$q + 1 + gm - 5$
128	4	217	215
128	6	261	258
128	8	305	302
128	9	327	322
128	11	371	366
128	$15 \leq g \leq 64$ and $g \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$	$q + 1 + gm$	$q + 1 + gm - 4$
128	$16 \leq g \leq 65$ and $g \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$	$q + 1 + gm$	$q + 1 + gm - 5$
128	$10 \leq g \leq 59$ and $g \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$	$q + 1 + gm$	$q + 1 + gm - 4$
128	$18 \leq g \leq 60$ and $g \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$	$q + 1 + gm$	$q + 1 + gm - 6$
128	$5 \leq g \leq 61$ and $g \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$	$q + 1 + gm$	$q + 1 + gm - 5$
128	$13 \leq g \leq 62$ and $g \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$	$q + 1 + gm$	$q + 1 + gm - 7$

TABLE 1. Improved upper bounds on the number of points on curves of certain genera over small finite fields $\mathbb{F}_q$ of characteristic 2. The symbol m is an abbreviation for $[2\sqrt{q}]$.

q	genus	old upper bound	new upper bound
3	6	15	14
9	9	51	50
9	10	55	54
9	11	59	58
9	12	63	62
9	13	66	65
9	14	70	69
9	15	74	73
9	16	78	77
9	17	82	81
9	18	85	84
27	4	66	64
27	$5 \leq g \leq 8$	$q + 1 + gm - 2$	$q + 1 + gm - 3$
27	$9 \leq g \leq 13$	$q + 1 + gm - 2$	$q + 1 + gm - 5$
27	14	164	163
81	$13 \leq g \leq 17$ and $g \neq 16$	$q + 1 + gm - 2$	$q + 1 + gm - 4$
81	$18 \leq g \leq 35$	$q + 1 + gm - 2$	$q + 1 + gm - 5$

TABLE 2. Improved upper bounds on the number of points on curves of certain genera over small finite fields $\mathbb{F}_q$ of characteristic 3. The symbol m is an abbreviation for $[2\sqrt{q}]$.

q	genus g	$N_q(g)$
4	5	17
4	10	27
8	9	45
16	4	45
128	4	215
3	6	14
9	10	54
27	4	64

TABLE 3. New values of $N_q(g)$ obtained in this paper.

functions of curves having a large number N of points. For some values of q and g these restrictions are strong enough to allow us to immediately eliminate certain values of N from consideration. For other combinations of q , g , and N , we can quickly eliminate most possible zeta functions and are left with a few special cases to consider. For some of these special cases we can use Theorem 1(b) to restrict the form of the curves in question to such an extent that a computer search for curves with the desired number of points becomes feasible. For one such case, detailed in Section 5, we manage to avoid significant computer calculations by extending a Galois descent argument used in [23].

The *defect* of a genus- g curve C over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the difference between the Weil-Serre upper bound for genus- g curves over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and the number of rational points on C ; in other words, a curve C has defect k if it has exactly $(q + 1 + g[2\sqrt{q}]) - k$ rational points. Theorem 1 allows us to prove some general results about curves with small defect. For example, we have the following theorem for square q .

Theorem 3. *Suppose q is a square.*

- (a) *If $q \neq 4$ and $g > 2$ then there are no defect-2 curves of genus g over $\mathbb{F}_q$.*
- (b) *If $q \neq 9$ and $g > 3$ then there are no defect-3 curves of genus g over $\mathbb{F}_q$.*
- (c) *If $g > (3q + 4m - 9)/m$, where $m = 2\sqrt{q}$, then there are no defect-4 curves of genus g over $\mathbb{F}_q$.*
- (d) *If $q = 2^{2^e}$ with $e > 2$, and if $g > 2^{e-1} + 2$, then there are no defect-4 curves of genus g over $\mathbb{F}_q$.*

For certain nonsquare q the Weil-Serre bound can be improved via a different method. Suppose q is a prime power. We define the *defect-0 dimension* of q to be the smallest positive integer δ for which there is a δ -dimensional abelian variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with characteristic polynomial of Frobenius equal to $(x^2 + mx + q)^\delta$. We say that q is *exceptional* if its defect-0 dimension is greater than 1.

Theorem 4. *Suppose q is a prime power and let δ be the defect-0 dimension of q . If C is a curve of genus g over $\mathbb{F}_q$, then the defect of C is at least $r/2$, where $r \in [0, \delta)$ is the remainder when g is divided by δ .*

Theorem 4 says something nontrivial about q only if q is exceptional, so we would like to be able to find the exceptional prime powers. In fact, there is an easy way to calculate the defect-0 dimension of a power q of a prime p . Let ν be an additive p -adic valuation on $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $m = [2\sqrt{q}]$.

Proposition 5. *If q is a square or if $q < 4$ then the defect-0 dimension of q is 1. If $q > 4$ is not a square, then the defect-0 dimension of q is the smallest positive integer δ such that $\delta\nu(m)/\nu(q)$ is an integer.*

We will prove Theorem 4 and Proposition 5 in Section 3. The proofs will foreshadow the arguments that produce the entries in Table 1 for $q = 128$.

It is easy to show that there are infinitely many q of the form 2^{2e+1} whose defect-0 dimension is $2e + 1$; we will provide a proof of this fact in Section 3. For such a q we see that a curve of genus $g \leq 2e$ must have defect at least $g/2$. The existence of these q allows us to prove an interesting fact about the function $N_q(g)$ defined by

$$N_q(g) = \max\{\#C(\mathbb{F}_q) : C \text{ is a genus-}g \text{ curve over } \mathbb{F}_q\}.$$

Corollary 6. *There are infinitely many powers q of 2 such that for every g with $0 < g < \log_2 q$ we have $(q + 1 + g[2\sqrt{q}]) - N_q(g) \geq g/2$.*

In particular, this implies that there is a sequence of pairs (q, g) where g is small with respect to q and for which the Weil-Serre bound becomes arbitrarily far from the true value of $N_q(g)$. Zieve [31] has already shown that there is a sequence of pairs (q, g) where $g/q \rightarrow 1/2$ and for which all previously-known bounds on $N_q(g)$ become arbitrarily far from the true value of $N_q(g)$.

Savitt [19] recently showed, through extensive computer calculation, that there is no genus-4 curve over $\mathbb{F}_8$ having exactly 27 rational points. We prove this same result in Section 8 with an argument much less dependent on the computer. In [15]

it was shown that there are only two possibilities for the zeta function of such a curve. We can show that the first zeta function cannot occur by using Theorem 1(b). For the second zeta function, we introduce a new argument that generalizes the Hermitian form argument used in [16]. We are able to eliminate the second zeta function by showing that if A is an abelian variety whose characteristic polynomial of Frobenius is f^2 , where

$$f = x^4 - 9x^3 + 35x^2 - 72x + 64,$$

then every principal polarization on A is decomposable. To prove this, we show that there are no indecomposable unimodular Hermitian forms of rank 2 over the ring of integers of the quartic number field defined by f .

In Section 2 we prove Theorems 1, 2, and 3, and we provide a number of useful corollaries. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 4, Proposition 5, and Corollary 6. In Section 4 we prove the results mentioned in Tables 1 and 2, although we postpone the consideration of some cases to later sections. In Section 5 we use a Galois descent argument to show that there is no genus-5 curve over $\mathbb{F}_8$ with 31 points. In Section 6 we check by exhaustion that there is no genus-4 curve over $\mathbb{F}_{27}$ with 66 points, that there is no genus-4 curve over $\mathbb{F}_{32}$ with 75 points, and that there is no genus-6 curve over $\mathbb{F}_3$ with a certain Weil polynomial; these calculations are feasible only because Theorem 1 allows us to considerably reduce the spaces we must search over. In Section 7 we show how one can parametrize degree-3 covers of elliptic curves in characteristic 3. Originally we had hoped to use this parameterization to show that there is no genus-6 curve over $\mathbb{F}_3$ with a certain Weil polynomial and that there is no genus-4 curve over $\mathbb{F}_{27}$ with 65 points; however, our original arguments were flawed. We sketch correct arguments in the second Appendix. In Section 8 we use the Hermitian form argument mentioned above to prove Savitt's result that there is no genus-4 curve over $\mathbb{F}_8$ with 27 points.

Notation. Throughout this paper a *curve* over $\mathbb{F}_q$ will mean a smooth, projective, absolutely irreducible curve. We will denote by $N_q(g)$ the largest N such that there is a curve of genus g over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with exactly N rational points. The *Weil polynomial* of an abelian variety over a finite field is the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius endomorphism of the variety. The *Weil polynomial* of a curve over a finite field is the Weil polynomial of its Jacobian. Note that if $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is the Weil polynomial of a genus- g curve C over $\mathbb{F}_q$, then the numerator of the zeta function of C is equal to $x^{2g}f(1/x)$. If f is the Weil polynomial of a curve or an abelian variety, say with $\deg f = 2g$, then there is a degree- g polynomial $h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, all of whose roots are real, such that $f(x) = x^g h(x + q/x)$. We will refer to h as the *real Weil polynomial* of the curve or the abelian variety.

Acknowledgments. We thank Jean-Pierre Serre for his helpful comments. In the course of doing the work described in this paper we used the computer algebra systems Pari/GP and Magma [1]. Several of our Magma programs are available on the web: start at

<http://www.alumni.caltech.edu/~however/biblio.html>

and follow the links related to this paper. We have also placed a copy of the 18 January 2002 version of the van der Geer-van der Vlugt tables on this site.

2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1, 2, AND 3

In this section we will prove Theorems 1, 2, and 3, as well as some useful corollaries. We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 7. *Suppose B is an abelian variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$ isogenous to a product $A_1 \times A_2$, where $s(A_1, A_2) < \infty$. Then there exist abelian varieties A'_1 and A'_2 , isogenous to A_1 and A_2 , respectively, and an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \Delta' \rightarrow A'_1 \times A'_2 \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

such that the projection maps $A'_1 \times A'_2 \rightarrow A'_1$ and $A'_1 \times A'_2 \rightarrow A'_2$ give monomorphisms from Δ' to $A'_1[s]$ and to $A'_2[s]$, where $s = s(A_1, A_2)$.

Suppose in addition that B has a principal polarization μ . Then the pullback of μ to $A'_1 \times A'_2$ is a product polarization $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2$, and the projection maps $A'_1 \times A'_2 \rightarrow A'_1$ and $A'_1 \times A'_2 \rightarrow A'_2$ give isomorphisms of Δ' with $\ker \lambda_1$ and $\ker \lambda_2$. In particular, Δ' is isomorphic to its own Cartier dual.

Proof. Let φ be an arbitrary isogeny from $A_1 \times A_2$ to B and let Δ be the kernel of φ . Let G_1 and G_2 be the largest closed subgroup-schemes of A_1 and A_2 such that $G_1 \times G_2$ is a closed subgroup-scheme of Δ , let $\Delta' = \Delta/(G_1 \times G_2)$, and let $A'_1 = A_1/G_1$ and $A'_2 = A_2/G_2$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Delta' \rightarrow A'_1 \times A'_2 \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

such that the projection maps give monomorphisms of Δ' to A'_1 and A'_2 . We will show that in fact the projection maps take Δ' to $A'_1[s]$ and $A'_2[s]$.

Let α be an arbitrary endomorphism of $A'_1 \times A'_2$ that lies in $\mathbb{Z}[F, V]$. (Here we use the fact that $\mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ is contained in the endomorphism ring of every abelian variety isogenous to $A_1 \times A_2$.) For $i = 1, 2$ let g_i be the minimal polynomial of α acting on A'_i . Then $g_1(\alpha)$ and $g_2(\alpha)$ both act as 0 on Δ' , because Δ' can be viewed as a subgroup-scheme of both A'_1 and A'_2 . But then $r(\alpha)$ must act as 0 on Δ' as well. Since this is true for every α , we see that $s(A_1, A_2)$ must kill Δ' ; this shows that the projection maps embed Δ' into $A'_1[s]$ and $A'_2[s]$.

Now suppose B has a principal polarization μ . Let λ be the pullback of μ to $A'_1 \times A'_2$. Since $\text{Hom}(A'_1, A'_2)$ and $\text{Hom}(A'_2, A'_1)$ are both trivial, λ must be a product polarization $\lambda_1 \times \lambda_2$. The degree of λ is equal to the degree of μ (which is 1) times the square of the degree of the isogeny $A'_1 \times A'_2 \rightarrow B$, so we have

$$(\#\Delta')^2 = \#\ker \lambda = (\#\ker \lambda_1)(\#\ker \lambda_2),$$

where we use $\#$ to denote the rank of a finite group-scheme. Since the projection maps give monomorphisms from Δ' to A'_1 and A'_2 , we see that $\#\Delta' \leq \#\ker \lambda_i$ for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$. This means that we must have $\#\Delta' = \#\ker \lambda_i$ for each i , and it follows that $\Delta' \cong \ker \lambda_i$ for each i . Since kernels of polarizations are isomorphic to their own duals, we obtain the final statement of the lemma. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose $s(A_1, A_2) = 1$. Then Lemma 7 shows that every abelian variety isogenous to $A_1 \times A_2$ is a product $A'_1 \times A'_2$. Since $s(A'_1, A'_2) = s(A_1, A_2) < \infty$ we see that $\text{Hom}(A'_1, A'_2) = \{0\}$, so every polarization on $A'_1 \times A'_2$ is a product polarization. In particular, we see that every principal polarization of an abelian variety isogenous to $A_1 \times A_2$ is decomposable, so there can be no Jacobians isogenous to $A_1 \times A_2$. This is the first statement of the theorem.

Now suppose that $s(A_1, A_2) = 2$. Apply Lemma 7 and replace A_1 and A_2 with the resulting A'_1 and A'_2 , so that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow \text{Jac } C \rightarrow 0$$

where Δ can be viewed as a subscheme of $A_1[2]$ and $A_2[2]$.

Let μ be the canonical polarization on $\text{Jac } C$ and let λ be the polarization on $A_1 \times A_2$ obtained by pulling back μ via φ . Lemma 7 shows that λ is the product of a polarization λ_1 on A_1 and a polarization λ_2 of A_2 . Let $(1, -1)$ denote the involution of $A_1 \times A_2$ that acts as 1 on A_1 and as -1 on A_2 . Clearly $(1, -1)$ respects the polarization λ , because 1 respects λ_1 and -1 respects λ_2 . Furthermore, $(1, -1)$ acts as the identity on Δ , so it gives rise to an involution β on $\text{Jac } C$ that respects the polarization μ . By Torelli's theorem, there exists an involution α of C such that either $\beta = \alpha^*$ or $\beta = -\alpha^*$.

Let D be the quotient of C by the involution α , so that there is a degree-2 map ψ from C to D with $\psi = \psi \circ \alpha$. Then the morphism $\psi^* : \text{Jac } D \rightarrow \text{Jac } C$ gives an isogeny from $\text{Jac } D$ to the connected component of the subvariety of $\text{Jac } C$ on which β acts as the identity. This subvariety is isogenous to A_1 if $\beta = \alpha^*$ and to A_2 if $\beta = -\alpha^*$. $\square$

We will use Theorem 1(b) in conjunction with some obvious facts about degree-2 covers of curves, which we state here for convenience.

Lemma 8. *Suppose C and D are curves over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of genus g_C and g_D , respectively, and suppose there is a degree-2 map $C \rightarrow D$. For every integer $d > 0$ let a_d denote the number of degree- d places on C and let b_d denote the number of degree- d places on D .*

- (a) *For every odd d we have $a_d \leq 2b_d$.*
- (b) *We have $g_C \geq 2g_D - 1$, with equality if and only if $C \rightarrow D$ is unramified.*
- (c) *Let $d_1 < \dots < d_n$ be odd positive integers such that a_{d_i} is odd for every i , and let $r = d_1 + \dots + d_n$. Then $g_C \geq 2g_D - 1 + r/2$, and equality holds if and only if $C \rightarrow D$ is ramified at exactly n places $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$, where each $\mathfrak{p}_i$ has degree d_i and where the ramification at each $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is tame.*

Proof. Suppose d is odd. Every degree- d place of D has at most 2 degree- d places of C lying over it, and every degree- d place of C lies over a degree- d place of D . Statement (a) follows immediately.

Statement (b) is the special case $n = 0$ of statement (c).

Let ι be the involution of C corresponding to the cover $C \rightarrow D$. Suppose d is an odd number such that a_d is odd. Then there is a degree- d place $\mathfrak{p}$ of C that is taken to itself by ι . Since $\mathfrak{p}$ consists of an odd number of geometric points of C , there must be a geometric point P in $\mathfrak{p}$ that is fixed by ι , and since all of the geometric points in $\mathfrak{p}$ are conjugate to each other, *all* of the points in $\mathfrak{p}$ must be fixed by ι . These d points must be ramification points of the cover $C \rightarrow D$. Thus, the hypothesis of statement (c) implies that there are at least r ramification points in the cover $C \rightarrow D$. The conclusion of the statement then follows by applying the Riemann-Hurwitz formula to the cover $C \rightarrow D$. $\square$

Suppose A is a g -dimensional abelian variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let

$$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \overline{\alpha}_1, \dots, \overline{\alpha}_g\}$$

be the multiset of complex roots of the Weil polynomial of A . For each i let $x_i = -(\alpha_i + \bar{\alpha}_i)$. We will say that A is of type $[x_1, \dots, x_g]$. If A is the Jacobian of a curve C we will also say that C and its zeta function are of type $[x_1, \dots, x_g]$. Note that the zeta function of a curve of type $[x_1, \dots, x_g]$ is given by

$$\frac{n(t)}{(1-t)(1-qt)},$$

where

$$n(t) = \prod_{i=1}^g (1 + x_i t + q t^2).$$

Also, if F is the Frobenius morphism of A and if $V = q/F$ is the Verschiebung, then the characteristic polynomial of $F + V$ is equal to $h^2(t)$, where

$$h(t) = \prod_{i=1}^g (t + x_i)$$

is the real Weil polynomial of A .

Corollary 9. *There are no genus- g curves of type $[m, \dots, m, m-2]$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ if $g > (q-1+2m)/m$ and $g > 3$, where $m = \lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor$.*

Proof. We will prove the contrapositive statement. Suppose C is a curve of genus g over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with zeta function $[m, \dots, m, m-2]$. Then $\text{Jac } C$ is isogenous to a product $A \times E$ of abelian varieties, where E is an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of type $[m-2]$ and where A is a $(g-1)$ -dimensional abelian variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of type $[m, \dots, m]$. We see that $r(F+V) = 2$, so $s(A, E) = 2$. According to Theorem 1, the curve C is a degree-2 cover of a curve D whose Jacobian is isogenous to either A or E . If $\text{Jac } D \sim A$ then D has genus $g-1$, and Lemma 8(b) shows that $g \leq 3$. If $\text{Jac } D \sim E$ then D is an elliptic curve with $q+m-1$ points, and applying Lemma 8(a) with $d = 1$ shows that

$$q + gm - 1 \leq 2q + 2m - 2,$$

which gives $g \leq (q-1+2m)/m$. $\square$

Recall that the *defect* of a genus- g curve C over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is the difference between the Weil-Serre upper bound and the number of rational points on C .

Corollary 10. *There are no defect-2 curves of genus g over $\mathbb{F}_q$ if $g > (q-1+4m)/m$ and $g > 5$, where $m = \lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor$.*

Proof. If C has defect 2, then its zeta function must be of one of the seven types listed in [15]. For $g \geq 5$, all but two of these types are eliminated by Theorem 1(a). The two remaining types are $[m, \dots, m, m-2]$ and $[m, \dots, m, m+\sqrt{3}-1, m-\sqrt{3}-1]$. Since we are assuming that $g > (q-1+4m)/m$, Corollary 9 eliminates the former possibility, so C must have the latter type. In this case $\text{Jac } C$ is isogenous to the product of a $(g-2)$ -dimensional abelian variety A_1 of type $[m, \dots, m]$ and a 2-dimensional abelian variety A_2 of type $[m+\sqrt{3}-1, m-\sqrt{3}-1]$. Applying Theorem 1(b), we find that C is a double cover of a curve D that is either of type $[m, \dots, m]$ or of type $[m+\sqrt{3}-1, m-\sqrt{3}-1]$. In the first case D would have genus $g-2$, and Lemma 8(b) shows that then $g \leq 5$. In the second case, Lemma 8(a) with $d = 1$ gives us

$$q + gm - 1 \leq 2(q + 2m - 1),$$

which leads to $g \leq (q-1+4m)/m$. $\square$

We have mentioned that we do not know any strong conclusions one can draw in general when $s(A_1, A_2) > 2$. However, with a little more information about A_1 and A_2 we can indeed say something.

Proposition 11. *Let A'_1 and A'_2 be abelian varieties over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let $s = s(A'_1, A'_2)$. Suppose that for every A_1 isogenous to A'_1 and every A_2 isogenous to A'_2 , the only self-dual finite group-scheme that can be embedded in both $A_1[s]$ and $A_2[s]$ as the kernel of a polarization is the trivial group-scheme. Then there is no curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with Jacobian isogenous to $A'_1 \times A'_2$.*

Proof. Suppose there were such a curve. Then Lemma 7 shows that we can find a group-scheme Δ that fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow A_1 \times A_2 \rightarrow \text{Jac } C \rightarrow 0$$

and that can be embedded in both $A_1[s]$ and $A_2[s]$. Furthermore, since $\text{Jac } C$ has a principal polarization, for each $i = 1, 2$ we have that Δ is isomorphic to the kernel of the polarization of A_i obtained by pulling back the principal polarization of $\text{Jac } C$. The hypotheses of the proposition show that Δ must be the trivial group-scheme, so $\text{Jac } C$ is isomorphic to the product of two abelian varieties that share no isogeny factor. As we have seen, this is a contradiction. $\square$

The next corollary describes a situation in which the hypotheses of Proposition 11 are met.

Corollary 12. *Suppose q is a square prime power and n is a squarefree integer coprime to q . Let $m = 2\sqrt{q}$. Then there is no curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of type $[m, \dots, m, m - n]$.*

Proof. Let A_1 be any abelian variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$ isogenous to the product of $(g - 1)$ copies of a supersingular elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with Weil polynomial $x^2 + mx + q = (x + \sqrt{q})^2$, and let A_2 be any ordinary elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with Weil polynomial $x^2 + (m - n)x + q$. Clearly $s(A_1, A_2) = n$. Suppose Δ is a nontrivial self-dual finite group scheme that embeds in both $A_1[n]$ and $A_2[n]$ as the kernel of a polarization. Since the only polarizations on A_2 are the multiplication-by- ℓ maps for positive integers ℓ , we must have $\Delta \cong A_2[\ell]$ for some divisor $\ell > 1$ of n . Since Δ embeds in $A_1[\ell]$ as well, and since the Frobenius F on A_1 satisfies $F + \sqrt{q} = 0$, we know that Frobenius must act as the integer $-\sqrt{q}$ on Δ , and hence on $A_2[\ell]$. This means that $F + \sqrt{q} = 0$ on $A_2[\ell]$, which means that $(F + \sqrt{q})/\ell$ is an endomorphism of A_2 . But from the characteristic polynomial of F on A_2 we can calculate that the characteristic polynomial of $(F + \sqrt{q})/\ell$ on A_2 is

$$x^2 - (n/\ell)x + (n\sqrt{q}/\ell^2),$$

which is not integral. This contradiction shows that no nontrivial self-dual finite group-scheme can be embedded in both $A_1[n]$ and $A_2[n]$. By Proposition 11, there is no curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of type $[m, \dots, m, m - n]$. $\square$

There is another situation in which we can draw conclusions from values of $s(A_1, A_2)$ greater than 2.

Proposition 13. *Suppose C is a curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ whose Jacobian is isogenous to the product $A \times E$ of an abelian variety A with an elliptic curve E , and suppose that $s(A, E) < \infty$. Then there is an elliptic curve E' isogenous to E for which*

there is map from C to E' of degree dividing $s(A, E)$, and we have $\#C(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq s(A, E) \cdot \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Proof. By applying Lemma 7 we find that there are abelian varieties A' and E' , isogenous to A and E , respectively, and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Delta' \rightarrow A' \times E' \rightarrow \text{Jac } C \rightarrow 0$$

such that the projection maps $A' \times E' \rightarrow A'$ and $A' \times E' \rightarrow E'$ give monomorphisms from Δ' to $A'[s]$ and $E'[s]$, where $s = s(A, E)$. This implies that the composition $E' \rightarrow A' \times E' \rightarrow \text{Jac } C$ is a monomorphism. Let λ and μ be the canonical principal polarizations on E' and $\text{Jac } C$, respectively. Then the pullback of μ to E' is $n\lambda$ for some integer $n > 0$, and Lemma 7 says that the kernel of the pullback is isomorphic to Δ' . In particular we see that n must divide s . Thus we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E' & \xrightarrow{n} & E' & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \widehat{E'} \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{Jac } C & \xrightarrow{1} & \text{Jac } C & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \widehat{\text{Jac } C} \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrow on the right is the dual morphism of the vertical monomorphism $E' \rightarrow \text{Jac } C$ on the left. From this we see that the composition $C \rightarrow \text{Jac } C \rightarrow E'$ is a map of degree n . In particular,

$$\#C(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq n \cdot \#E'(\mathbb{F}_q) = n \cdot \#E(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq s \cdot \#E(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

□

Corollary 14. *Let q be a prime power and let n be a positive integer. Let $m = \lfloor 2\sqrt{q} \rfloor$. If C is a curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of type $[m, \dots, m, m - n]$, then the genus g of C satisfies*

$$g \leq \frac{(n-1)q - (n-1)^2 + nm}{m}.$$

Proof. According to Proposition 13, there is an elliptic curve E' of defect n and a divisor d of n for which there is a degree- d map from C to E' , and the number of points on C , which is $q + 1 + gm - n$, is at most n times the number of points on E' , which is $q + 1 + m - n$. It follows from this inequality that

$$g \leq \frac{(n-1)q - (n-1)^2 + nm}{m}.$$

□

Now we turn to the proof of Theorem 2. Our proof relies on two facts: First, a prime p divides the resultant of two monic polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ if and only if the reductions of the polynomials modulo p have a common root in the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_p$, and second, the ring $\mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ contains no nilpotent elements, so that if $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ has minimal polynomial $m \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, then the subring $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ of $\mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(m)$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Clearly $s(A_1, A_2)$ divides $r(F + V)$, because $s(A_1, A_2)$ is defined to be the greatest common divisor of a set of numbers that includes $r(F + V)$. What we must now prove is that if a prime p divides $r(F + V)$ then it also divides $s(A_1, A_2)$. To do this, we must show that for every α in $\mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ the prime p divides $r(\alpha)$.

Consider an α in $\mathbb{Z}[F, V]$, say $\alpha = u(F, V)$ for some polynomial $u \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$. Let F_1 and V_1 (resp. F_2 and V_2) be the Frobenius and Verschiebung endomorphisms of A_1 (resp. A_2). The fact that p divides $r(F + V)$ shows that there are homomorphisms $\psi_1: \mathbb{Z}[F_1 + V_1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and $\psi_2: \mathbb{Z}[F_2 + V_2] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ such that $\psi_1(F_1 + V_1) = \psi_2(F_2 + V_2)$. Let $\tau = \psi_1(F_1 + V_1)$ and let σ be an element of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ such that $\sigma^2 - \tau\sigma + q = 0$. Then the homomorphisms ψ_1 and ψ_2 can be extended to give homomorphisms $\hat{\psi}_1: \mathbb{Z}[F_1, V_1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and $\hat{\psi}_2: \mathbb{Z}[F_2, V_2] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ such that $\hat{\psi}_1(F_1) = \sigma = \hat{\psi}_2(F_2)$ and $\hat{\psi}_1(V_1) = \tau - \sigma = \hat{\psi}_2(V_2)$. But then $\hat{\psi}_1(u(F_1, V_1)) = \hat{\psi}_2(u(F_2, V_2))$, so the minimal polynomials of $u(F_1, V_1)$ and $u(F_2, V_2)$ have a common root in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. It follows that $r(\alpha)$ is divisible by p . $\square$

Using Theorem 1 and the corollaries established so far, we can now prove Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose q is a square prime power, say $q = p^{2e}$ for a prime p . Of the types of defect-2 zeta-functions listed in [15], only two are possible when q is a square: namely $[m, \dots, m, m-1, m-1]$ and $[m, \dots, m, m-2]$. The first of these is impossible when $g > 2$ by Theorem 1(a), so the only possible defect-2 zeta function for $g > 2$ is $[m, \dots, m, m-2]$. To prove part (a), first assume that $p \neq 2$. Then it follows from Corollary 12 that this zeta function is not possible. If $p = 2$, then $m-2$ is not the trace of an elliptic curve when $q \neq 4$, so $[m, \dots, m, m-2]$ is not possible in that case either.

The proof of part (b) is essentially the same. From [19] we see that the only possible zeta function for a defect 3 curve when q is a square and $g > 3$ is $[m, \dots, m, m-3]$. (If $g = 3$, then $[m-1, m-1, m-1]$ may be possible; see [16].) If $p \neq 3$ then it again follows from Corollary 12 that this zeta function is not possible. If $p = 3$, then $m-3$ is not the trace of an elliptic curve when $q \neq 9$, so $[m, \dots, m, m-3]$ is not possible in that case either.

Now we prove parts (c) and (d). Using the methods of [23] (see also [15, §2]) and the tables from [25], we see that for square q there are exactly eight possible types for a curve of genus g and defect 4 over $\mathbb{F}_q$. For each type, we list in Table 4 the associated real Weil polynomial h evaluated at $x - m$ (where $m = 2\sqrt{q}$) and the associated Weil polynomial f .

Suppose that $g > (3q + 4m - 9)/m$, where $m = 2\sqrt{q}$. Then Corollary 14 shows that the first entry in the table cannot occur. Also, since the inequality we are assuming implies that $g > (q + 4m - 3)/m$ as well, Theorem 1(b) and Lemma 8(a) can be used to show that the second and fourth entries cannot occur. We also see that $g > (q + 2m + 3)/m$, so Theorem 1(b) and Lemma 8(a) show that the eighth entry cannot occur. Finally, Theorem 1(a) shows that the remaining entries cannot occur when $g > 4$. This proves part (c).

Finally, suppose $q = 2^{2e}$ with $e > 2$, and suppose $g > 2^{e-1} + 2 > 5$. Then the first four entries listed in Table 4 cannot occur because the Honda-Tate theorem [30] shows the final factor of each of the putative Weil polynomials is not in fact a Weil polynomial. (A simple way to check this is to use [4, Lem. 3.1.2].) The next three entries cannot occur when $g > 4$ because of Theorem 1(a), as we have noted already. That leaves us with the final entry. Once again Theorem 1(b) and Lemma 8(a) can be used to eliminate this possibility, because we have $g > 2^{e-1} + 2$. $\square$

$\text{type} = [m, \dots, m, m-4]$ $h(x-m) = x^{g-1} \cdot (x-4)$ $f(x) = (x + \sqrt{q})^{2g-2} \cdot (x^2 + (m-4)x + q)$
$\text{type} = [m, \dots, m, m-2, m-2]$ $h(x-m) = x^{g-2} \cdot (x-2)^2$ $f(x) = (x + \sqrt{q})^{2g-4} \cdot (x^2 + (m-2)x + q)^2$
$\text{type} = [m, \dots, m, m-1, m-1, m-2]$ $h(x-m) = x^{g-3} \cdot (x-1)^2 \cdot (x-2)$ $f(x) = (x + \sqrt{q})^{2g-6} \cdot (x^2 + (m-1)x + q)^2 \cdot (x^2 + (m-2)x + q)$
$\text{type} = [m, \dots, m, m - (2 - \sqrt{2}), m - (2 + \sqrt{2})]$ $h(x-m) = x^{g-2} \cdot (x^2 - 4x + 2)$ $f(x) = (x + \sqrt{q})^{2g-4} \cdot (x^4 + (2m-4)x^3 + (6q-4m+2)x^2 + (2m-4)qx + q^2)$
$\text{type} = [m, \dots, m, m-1, m-1, m-1, m-1]$ $h(x-m) = x^{g-4} \cdot (x-1)^4$ $f(x) = (x + \sqrt{q})^{2g-8} \cdot (x^2 + (m-1)x + q)^4$
$\text{type} = [m, \dots, m, m-1, m - (3 - \sqrt{5})/2, m - (3 + \sqrt{5})/2]$ $h(x-m) = x^{g-3} \cdot (x-1) \cdot (x^2 - 3x + 1)$ $f(x) = (x + \sqrt{q})^{2g-6} \cdot (x^2 + (m-1)x + q) \cdot (x^4 + (2m-3)x^3 + (6q-3m+1)x^2 + (2m-3)qx + q^2)$
$\text{type} = [m, \dots, m, m - (2 - \sqrt{3}), m - (2 + \sqrt{3})]$ $h(x-m) = x^{g-2} \cdot (x^2 - 4x + 1)$ $f(x) = (x + \sqrt{q})^{2g-4} \cdot (x^4 + (2m-4)x^3 + (6q-4m+1)x^2 + (2m-4)qx + q^2)$
$\text{type} = [m, \dots, m, m-1, m-3]$ $h(x-m) = x^{g-2} \cdot (x-1) \cdot (x-3)$ $f(x) = (x + \sqrt{q})^{2g-4} \cdot (x^2 + (m-1)x + q) \cdot (x^2 + (m-3)x + q)$

TABLE 4. The possible types of defect-4 curves over square fields, together with the associated real Weil polynomial h evaluated at $x-m$ and the associated Weil polynomial f .

3. EXCEPTIONAL PRIME POWERS

In this section we will prove Theorem 4, Proposition 5, and Corollary 6. Before we begin, let us define the *trace* of a monic degree- n polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ to be -1 times the coefficient of x^{n-1} , and the *deficiency* of such a polynomial to be its trace minus its degree.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let C be a curve of genus g over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let $h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be its real Weil polynomial. We know that all of the roots of h are real numbers in the interval $[-2\sqrt{q}, 2\sqrt{q}]$, and the number of points on C is equal to $q+1-t$, where t is the trace of h . Write $h = (x+m)^e h_2$, where h_2 has no factors of $(x+m)$. The factor

$(x+m)^e$ of h corresponds to the largest isogeny factor of $\text{Jac } C$ on which Frobenius acts as $-m$, and up to isogeny this factor must be a power of the smallest abelian variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$ whose real Weil polynomial is a power of $x+m$. Thus, the exponent e is a multiple of the defect-0 dimension δ of $\mathbb{F}_q$, and we see that the degree g_2 of h_2 is congruent to g modulo δ .

Define $H \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ by $H(x) = h(x-m-1)$, so that $H = (x-1)^e H_2$ for a polynomial H_2 of degree g_2 that has no factors of $x-1$. All of the roots of H are positive real numbers, and the number of points on C is $q+1-T+gm+g$, where T is the trace of H . The trace T_2 of H_2 is equal to $T-e$, and the degree of h_2 is equal to $g-e$, so we have

$$\#C(\mathbb{F}_q) = q+1+gm-T_2+g_2.$$

In other words, the defect of the curve C is T_2-g_2 , which is the deficiency of the polynomial H_2 .

Now, all of the roots of H_2 are positive real numbers, and H_2 has no factors of $x-1$, so a result of Siegel [24] says that the trace of H_2 is at least $3/2$ times its degree. It follows that the deficiency of H_2 is at least half its degree. Thus, the defect of C is at least $g_2/2$. We already noted that g_2 is congruent to g modulo δ , so the defect of C is at least $r/2$, where $r \in [0, \delta)$ is the remainder obtained when dividing g by δ . $\square$

Proof of Proposition 5. If f is a monic irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ whose roots in the complex plane all have magnitude $\sqrt{q}$, then there is an exponent e such that f^e is the Weil polynomial of a simple abelian variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$. The Honda-Tate theorem [30] includes a recipe for calculating this exponent. Proposition 5 is obtained by applying this recipe to either the polynomial x^2+mx+q (if q is not a square) or the polynomial $x+\sqrt{q}$ (if q is a square). We leave the details to the reader. $\square$

The essence of the following argument appears in [23].

Proof of Corollary 6. Consider the expression for $\sqrt{2}$ in base 2:

$$\sqrt{2} = b_0 + \frac{b_1}{2} + \frac{b_2}{2^2} + \frac{b_3}{2^3} + \cdots$$

where each b_i is either 0 or 1. Suppose $e > 0$ is an integer such that $b_e = 1$ and $b_{e+1} = 0$. Let $q = 2^{2e+1}$. Then the base-2 expression for $2\sqrt{q}$ is

$$2\sqrt{q} = b_0 2^{e+1} + b_1 2^e + \cdots + b_e 2 + b_{e+1} + \frac{b_{e+2}}{2} + \cdots,$$

so the base-2 expression for $m = [2\sqrt{q}]$ is

$$m = b_0 2^{e+1} + b_1 2^e + \cdots + b_e 2 + b_{e+1}.$$

Clearly m is even but not a multiple of 4, so if ν is the usual additive 2-adic valuation of $\mathbb{Q}$ we have $\nu(m) = 1$ and $\nu(q) = 2e+1$. It follows from Proposition 5 that the defect-0 dimension of q is equal to $2e+1$. If we take $g \leq 2e$, then Theorem 4 shows that the defect of a genus- g curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is at least $g/2$.

Thus, to prove Corollary 6 we need only show that there are infinitely many e with $b_e = 1$ and $b_{e+1} = 0$. But there are only two ways in which there could not be infinitely many such e : either $b_i = 0$ for all sufficiently large i , or $b_i = 1$ for all sufficiently large i . Neither of these can occur, because $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational. $\square$

If p is a prime for which the real number $\sqrt{p}$ is normal in base p — a condition one expects every prime to satisfy — then a similar argument shows that there are infinitely many exceptional powers of p .

4. IMPROVED BOUNDS

In this section we will explain how we obtained the improvements listed in Tables 1 and 2. Some of the entries in the table are immediate consequence of the corollaries in Section 2, but other entries require a more detailed analysis. We have written a Magma program that carries out some of this analysis for us; let us begin by explaining what the program does.

Given a prime power q and two positive integers g and N , we want to determine, if we can, whether there exists a curve of genus g over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with N rational points. Our program enumerates all of the polynomials that might possibly be the real Weil polynomial h of such a curve, where by “might possibly” we mean that

- all of the roots of h are real numbers in the interval $[-2\sqrt{q}, 2\sqrt{q}]$, and
- the number of places of degree d (for $d = 1, \dots, g$) predicted by h are non-negative and in accord with the Weil-Serre bounds.

The enumeration is carried out in one of two ways: If the value of N corresponds to a defect of 6 or less, the program uses precomputed tables of totally positive polynomials of deficiency at most 6 (calculated as in [25]) to list all of the appropriate polynomials. Otherwise, the program uses the algorithm from [14] to compute the appropriate h 's. For each candidate h , the program then uses the criterion of [30] to determine whether h actually is the real Weil polynomial of an isogeny class of abelian varieties over $\mathbb{F}_q$. For each h that is a real Weil polynomial, the program uses the factorization of h to loop through all of the splittings of the associated isogeny class into a product of lower-dimensional isogeny classes. It then computes the value of $r(F + V)$ for each such splitting. If $r(F + V) = 1$ then we know from Theorem 1(a) that there is no curve with h as its real Weil polynomial. If $r(F + V) = 2$ then the program tries to use Theorem 1(b) and Lemma 8 to show that there is no curve with the given real Weil polynomial. (The program only uses Lemma 8(a) with $d = 1$, and it only uses Lemma 8(c) with all of the d_i less than or equal to g .) If one of the isogeny classes in the splitting is an isogeny class of elliptic curves and if the conclusion of Proposition 13 is not satisfied, then again we know that there is no curve with the given real Weil polynomial. If a polynomial h is not eliminated by these filters, the program flags it as such and prints out three items:

- (1) The number of places of degree d (for $d = 1, \dots, g$) that a curve would have to have in order to have h for its real Weil polynomial,
- (2) the factorization of h , and
- (3) a matrix giving the resultants of each pair of prime factors of h .

Likewise, if a polynomial h is eliminated, the program will print out item (2) above, together with an explanation of why it eliminated the polynomial. The program will also print out item (1) if it had to calculate that information in order to eliminate the polynomial.

For some specific choices of q , g , and N , our program eliminates all possible real Weil polynomials. For other choices there are only a few real Weil polynomials left

to consider, and sometimes we can eliminate these by other methods; see Sections 5, 6, and 8 for examples of some of these methods.

Throughout this section, the symbol m will always stand for the integer $[2\sqrt{q}]$, where q is the prime power currently under discussion.

It was proven in [23] (see also [15, Prop. 2]) that defect-1 curves are never possible when the genus is bigger than 2. We will frequently use this fact without comment.

4.1. Improvements for $q = 4$.

The case $q = 4$, $g = 5$, $N = 18$. We ran our Magma program for the case $q = 4$, $g = 5$, $N = 18$. The output is reproduced in the first Appendix. The program finds eight polynomials h that might possibly be real Weil polynomials for a genus-5 curve over $\mathbb{F}_4$ with 18 points. The first of the eight possibilities turns out not to be a real Weil polynomial; it fails the local criterion given in [30].

The second, fourth, fifth, seventh, and eighth possibilities are eliminated by Theorem 1(a). For example, for the fifth possibility, the program finds that h factors as $(x+2)^2(x+4)(x^2+5x+5)$. If we let h_1 be the product of the first and second of these factors (as the line

`Splitting = [ 1, 2 ]`

in the output indicates we should do) and if we let h_2 be the third factor, then the resultant of the radical of h_1 and the radical of h_2 is 1.

The third and sixth possibilities are eliminated by Theorem 1(b) and Lemma 8. For example, the polynomial h for the third possibility is $(x+1)(x+2)(x+3)^2(x+4)$. We can factor this as h_1h_2 where $h_1 = (x+2)$ and $h_2 = (x+1)(x+3)^2(x+4)$, and then the resultant of the radicals of h_1 and h_2 is 2. Thus Theorem 1(b) shows that any curve with h as its real Weil polynomial would have to be a double cover of a curve D whose real Weil polynomial is either h_1 or h_2 . But if D had h_1 as its real Weil polynomial then it would have 7 points, and this would contradict Lemma 8(a) with $d = 1$; while if D had h_2 as its real Weil polynomial then it would have genus 4, and this would contradict Lemma 8(b). This argument is summarized in the lines

`Splitting = [ 2 ]`

`Reasons: point counts, Riemann-Hurwitz`

of the output.

So we see that there is no genus 5 curve over $\mathbb{F}_4$ with 18 points. A curve with 17 points is known, so we obtain the first entry in Table 3.

The cases $q = 4$, $g \in \{10, 11\}$. The improvements listed in Table 1 for $q = 4$ and $g \in \{10, 11\}$ come from running our program. Note that a curve over $\mathbb{F}_4$ of genus 10 with 27 points is known, so we get the second entry in Table 3.

4.2. Improvements for $q = 8$.

The cases $q = 8$, $g = 5$, $N = 32$ and 31. Our program shows that no genus-5 curve over $\mathbb{F}_8$ can have exactly 32 points.

The case $N = 31$ is more interesting. Our program shows that if C is a genus-5 curve over $\mathbb{F}_8$ with 31 points, then its real Weil polynomial must be

$$h = (x+5)^3(x^2+7x+8).$$

The resultant of $x + 5$ and $x^2 + 7x + 8$ is 2, so C is a double cover of a curve D whose real Weil polynomial is either $(x + 5)^3$ or $x^2 + 7x + 8$. If D had $(x + 5)^3$ for its real Weil polynomial then D would have genus 3, and this would contradict Lemma 8(c) since C has an odd number of rational points. Thus the real Weil polynomial of D must be $x^2 + 7x + 8$.

We see that the Weil polynomial of C must be

$$f_C = (x^2 + 5x + 8)^3(x^4 + 7x^3 + 24x^2 + 56x + 64)$$

and the Weil polynomial of D must be

$$f_D = x^4 + 7x^3 + 24x^2 + 56x + 64.$$

In Section 5 we will use a Galois descent argument to show that this cannot occur.

The cases $q = 8$, $g = 9$, $N = 47$ and 46. Our program shows that a genus-9 curve over $\mathbb{F}_8$ cannot have exactly 47 points, and that if such a curve has exactly 46 points then its real Weil polynomial is either $(x + 3)(x + 4)^3(x + 5)^3(x^2 + 7x + 9)$ or $(x + 3)^4(x + 5)^5$. We will consider each of these two possibilities in turn.

Suppose C is a genus-9 curve over $\mathbb{F}_8$ with real Weil polynomial

$$h = (x + 3)(x + 4)^3(x + 5)^3(x^2 + 7x + 9).$$

Since the resultant of $x + 5$ and $(x + 3)(x + 4)(x^2 + 7x + 9)$ is 2, the curve C must be a double cover of a curve D over $\mathbb{F}_8$ whose real Weil polynomial is either $(x + 5)^3$ or $(x + 3)(x + 4)^3(x^2 + 7x + 9)$. The second option would require D to have larger genus than is allowed by Lemma 8(b), so D must have real Weil polynomial $(x + 5)^3$. In particular, D must have exactly 24 places of degree 1.

We see from the real Weil polynomial of C that C has no places of degree 2. In particular, no rational places of D can be inert in the double cover $C \rightarrow D$. Since C has 46 rational places, it must be the case that 22 of the 24 rational places of D split in the cover $C \rightarrow D$ and the other 2 rational places ramify.

We also see from the real Weil polynomial of C that C has 109 places of degree 3. As we argued in the proof of Lemma 8, the fact that C has an odd number of degree-3 places implies that at least one degree-3 place of D ramifies. Thus, at least 5 geometric points of D ramify in the double cover $C \rightarrow D$. Since the ramification is necessarily wild, each ramification point contributes at least 2 to the degree of the different of the cover, which means that the degree of the different is at least 10. But the Riemann-Hurwitz formula shows that the degree of the different of the double cover $C \rightarrow D$ is equal to 8. This contradiction shows that there is no genus-9 curve over $\mathbb{F}_8$ with $(x + 3)(x + 4)^3(x + 5)^3(x^2 + 7x + 9)$ for its real Weil polynomial.

Suppose C is a genus-9 curve over $\mathbb{F}_8$ with real Weil polynomial $(x + 3)^4(x + 5)^5$. Since the resultant of $x + 3$ and $x + 5$ is 2, the curve C must be a double cover of a curve D whose real Weil polynomial is either $(x + 3)^4$ or $(x + 5)^5$. But the first option is impossible, because in that case D would have only 21 rational points, which contradicts Lemma 8(a). The second option is impossible as well, because in that case the genus-5 curve D would have 34 rational points, whereas we know that $N_8(5) \leq 30$.

Thus there are no genus-9 curves over $\mathbb{F}_8$ with 46 points. A curve with 45 points is known, so we get the third entry in Table 3.

The cases $q = 8$, $g \in \{7, 8, 10, 11, 15\}$. The improvements we get when $q = 8$ and $g \in \{7, 8, 10, 11, 15\}$ can all be obtained by running our program.

4.3. Improvements for $q = 16$.

The cases $q = 16$, $g \in \{4, 5, 7\}$. These improvements come directly from Theorem 3(b). Since a genus-4 curve over $\mathbb{F}_{16}$ with 45 points is known, we obtain the fourth entry in Table 3.

The cases $q = 16$, $g \in \{8, 11, 13, 14\}$. The improvements we list in these cases are all obtained by running our program.

4.4. Improvements for $q = 32$.

The case $q = 32$, $g = 4$, $N = 75$. Suppose C is a genus-4 curve over $\mathbb{F}_{32}$ with exactly 75 rational points. Then C has defect 2, so it must be of one of the seven types listed in [15]. The type $[m, m, m-1, m-1]$ is forbidden by Theorem 1(a), and the fractional part of $2\sqrt{32}$ is small enough to eliminate five of the others. Thus C must have type $[m, m, m, m-2]$, where $m = \lfloor 2\sqrt{32} \rfloor = 11$. Theorem 1 tells us that C must be a double cover of either a genus-3 curve (which is impossible, by Lemma 8(b)) or of an elliptic curve whose Weil polynomial is $x^2 + (m-2)x + 32 = x^2 + 9x + 32$. In Section 6.2 we will show how the set of all genus-4 double covers of such elliptic curves can be enumerated. We will see that none of the curves has 75 points.

The cases $q = 32$, $5 \leq g \leq 15$. For $q = 32$ and $g \geq 3$, a Galois descent argument [15] shows that the Weil-Serre upper bound cannot be met, and the previously-known best upper bound for $3 \leq g \leq 15$ was $q + 1 + gm - 2$. As we saw above, the only possible defect-2 zeta function is of type $[m, \dots, m, m-2]$. However, Corollary 9 rules out this type of zeta function when $g \geq 5$, so defect 2 is impossible when $g \geq 5$.

Likewise, the arguments from the appendix of [19] show that for $g \geq 9$ the only possible defect-3 zeta function for $q = 32$ is of type $[m, \dots, m, m-3]$. (This also depends on the fact that the fractional part of $2\sqrt{32}$ is relatively small.) But Corollary 14 shows that then $g \leq 8$, so defect 3 is impossible when $g \geq 9$. Thus our new upper bound is $q + 1 + gm - 3$ for $5 \leq g \leq 8$, and is $q + 1 + gm - 4$ for $9 \leq g \leq 15$.

4.5. Improvements for $q = 64$.

The cases $q = 64$, $11 \leq g \leq 27$, $g \neq 12$. If $g = 11$, then a curve meeting the Weil-Serre bound is not possible due to the results of Korchmaros-Torres [10]. Defect 2 is also impossible, by Corollary 10.

We know from [5] that there is also no defect-0 curve when $13 \leq g \leq 27$, and it was shown in [15] that defect 2 is ruled out by the Honda-Tate theorem. But Theorem 3 shows that defects 3 and 4 are not possible either, so we get an upper bound of $q + 1 + gm - 5$.

4.6. Improvements for $q = 128$. Apart from the case $g = 9$ and $N = 324$ (explained below), all of our improved bounds for $q = 128$ can be obtained by running our program. However, we will take some time here to indicate how the structure apparent in the $q = 128$ results is a consequence of the fact that 128 is exceptional (in the terminology of Section 3). To simplify our discussion, let us introduce some terminology.

Suppose h is a monic irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, all of whose roots in $\mathbb{C}$ are real and have magnitude at most $2\sqrt{q}$. By the Honda-Tate theorem there is an

integer $e > 0$ such that a power h^n of h is the real Weil polynomial of an abelian variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$ if and only if n is divisible by e . We will say that h^e is an *elementary* real Weil polynomial. For example, the polynomial $(x + 22)^7$ is an elementary real Weil polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{128}$.

We define the *defect* of a real Weil polynomial h over $\mathbb{F}_q$ to be $m \deg h + \text{trace } h$, where the trace of a polynomial is as defined in Section 3. Note that if C is a curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of defect d then its real Weil polynomial has defect d . Also, the defect of a product of real Weil polynomials is the sum of the defects.

Suppose $h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is the real Weil polynomial of a curve C over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $H(x) = h(x - m - 1)$, so that all of the roots of H are positive real numbers. One checks that the defect of h is the deficiency of H , as defined in Section 3. Smyth [25] has written down all irreducible monic polynomials H in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ with totally positive roots and with deficiency at most 6, and using Smyth's work and the Honda-Tate theorem it is not hard to write down a list of all of the elementary real Weil polynomials h over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with defect at most 6. (A Magma program to reproduce Smyth's work is available at the URL mentioned in the acknowledgments.)

There is only one elementary real Weil polynomial of defect 0, namely $(x + m)^\delta$, where δ is the defect-0 dimension of q . Let us say that a real Weil polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is *minimal* if it is coprime to $x + m$. Given the list of elementary real Weil polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of defect at most 6, it is a simple matter to make a list of all of the minimal real Weil polynomials over $\mathbb{F}_q$ of defect at most 6.

Now suppose one is interested in genus- g curves C over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with defect $d \leq 6$. The real Weil polynomial of C must be of the form $(x + m)^n h$, where h is a minimal real Weil polynomial of defect d . As we just noted, one can easily list these polynomials; the task is made even simpler by the fact that only h of certain degrees can occur, since $n = g - \deg h$ must be a multiple of the defect-0 dimension of q . Furthermore, one can use Theorem 1(a) to exclude certain polynomials $(x + m)^n h$.

For instance, consider the case where $q = 128$ and $g \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$, with $g > 2$. There can be no defect-0 curves of genus g because the defect-0 dimension of q is 7. There are no defect-1 real Weil polynomials because we took $g > 2$. The only possible defect-2 polynomials are $y^{g-2}(y - 1)^2$ and $y^{g-2}(y^2 - 2y - 1)$, where $y = x + m$, but these are eliminated by Theorem 1(a). The possible defect-3 polynomials are $y^{g-2}(y^2 - 3y + 1)$ and $y^{g-2}(y^2 - 3y - 1)$ and $y^{g-2}(y^2 - 3y - 2)$. The first two are eliminated by Theorem 1(a), and when $g > 9$ the third is eliminated by Theorem 1(b) and Lemma 8. The possible defect-4 polynomials are $y^{g-2}(y^2 - 4y - 1)$ and $y^{g-2}(y^2 - 4y + 1)$ and $y^{g-2}(y - 1)(y - 3)$. The first two are eliminated by Theorem 1(a), and when $g \geq 9$ the third is eliminated by Theorem 1(b) and Lemma 8. For defect 5 there are several possible polynomials that we cannot eliminate using our theorems. Combining all of the above, we see that when $g > 9$ is congruent to 2 mod 7, we have $N_{128}(g) \leq q + 1 + mg - 5$. A similar analysis can be done for the other congruence classes modulo 7.

There is a known curve of genus 4 over $\mathbb{F}_{128}$ with 215 rational points, so we obtain the fifth entry in Table 3.

The case $q = 128$, $g = 9$, $323 \leq N \leq 327$. The defect-0 dimension of q is 7, so there is no defect-0 curve of genus 9. Defect 1 is impossible because $g > 2$. The cases $N = 325$ and $N = 323$ are eliminated by our program. The only case remaining is $N = 324$.

Our program shows that a genus-9 curve C over $\mathbb{F}_{128}$ with 324 points would have to have real Weil polynomial $(x + 22)^7(x^2 + 41x + 416)$ and would have to be a double cover of a genus-2 curve D with real Weil polynomial $x^2 + 41x + 416$. From their real Weil polynomials, we see that C and D each have 2-rank 1; that is, the $\mathbb{F}_2$ -dimension of the geometric 2-torsion of their Jacobians is 1. But then the Deuring-Shafarevich formula (see [29] and the references listed in [2, §3]) shows that the double cover $C \rightarrow D$ must be unramified, which is clearly impossible.

4.7. Improvements for $q = 3$.

The case $q = 3$, $g = 6$, $N = 15$. Running our program on this case leaves us with three real Weil polynomials to consider.

The first is $(x + 2)^2(x + 3)(x^3 + 4x^2 + x - 3)$. Factoring this as $(x + 3)$ times $(x + 2)^2(x^3 + 4x^2 + x - 3)$ and applying Proposition 13, we find that a curve with this real Weil polynomial must be a triple cover of an elliptic curve with Weil polynomial $x^2 + 3x + 3$. We will show in the second Appendix that no such triple cover can have 15 points.

The second real Weil polynomial we must consider is $(x + 2)^2(x^2 + 3x - 1)(x^2 + 4x + 2)$. Factoring this as $(x^2 + 4x + 2)$ times $(x + 2)^2(x^2 + 3x - 1)$ and applying Theorem 1(b), we find that a curve with this real Weil polynomial must be a double cover of a genus-2 curve with real Weil polynomial $(x^2 + 4x + 2)$. Searching through the genus-2 curves over $\mathbb{F}_3$, we find that there is exactly one curve with that real Weil polynomial; it is given by the equation $y^2 = x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^2 - x + 1$. In Section 6.3 we will show that there is no genus-6 double cover of this curve with 15 points.

The third real Weil polynomial we are left to consider is $(x + 1)^2(x + 3)^2(x^2 + 3x - 1)$. Writing this polynomial as the product of $(x + 3)^2$ and $(x + 1)^2(x^2 + 3x - 1)$ and applying Theorem 1(b), we find that a curve C with this real Weil polynomial must be a double cover of a genus-2 curve with real Weil polynomial $(x + 3)^2$. Such a genus-2 curve would have 10 rational points — but this is impossible, because $N_3(2) = 8$.

Thus there is no genus-6 curve over $\mathbb{F}_3$ with 15 rational points. A curve with 14 points is known, so we obtain the sixth entry in Table 3.

4.8. Improvements for $q = 9$.

The case $q = 9$, $g = 13$, $N = 66$. Running our program shows that the only possible real Weil polynomial in this case is $(x + 2)(x + 4)^6(x + 5)^6$. Writing this polynomial as the product of $(x + 4)^6$ with $(x + 2)(x + 5)^6$ and applying Theorem 1(b), we see that a genus-13 curve C over $\mathbb{F}_9$ with 66 points must be a double cover of a curve D such that either

- (1) the curve D has 34 rational points and has genus 6, and the double cover $C \rightarrow D$ is ramified at 4 geometric points, or
- (2) the curve D has 42 rational points and has genus 7, and the double cover $C \rightarrow D$ is unramified.

We note that the real Weil polynomial of C shows that it has no places of degree 2, so that no rational point of D can be inert in the double cover $C \rightarrow D$, and so that every degree-2 place of D must be inert in $C \rightarrow D$.

Suppose D has genus 6. Since D has 34 rational points and none of them are inert in $C \rightarrow D$, and since C has 66 rational points, we see that exactly 2 rational

points of D are ramified. Since there are 4 geometric ramification points, a degree-2 place of D must ramify as well — but we have just seen that every degree-2 place of D must be inert, a contradiction.

Suppose D has genus 7. Since no rational point of D can be inert or ramified in the double cover $C \rightarrow D$, each of the 42 rational points of D must split. But then C would have to have 84 rational points, contradicting the fact that it has only 66.

Thus neither of the two possibilities listed above can hold, and there can be no genus-13 curve over $\mathbb{F}_9$ with 66 points.

The cases $q = 9$, $g \in \{9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18\}$. The improvements listed in Table 2 for $q = 9$ and $g \in \{9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18\}$ can all be obtained simply by running our program. Note that there is a known genus-10 curve over $\mathbb{F}_9$ with 54 points, so we get the seventh entry in Table 3.

4.9. Improvements for $q = 27$.

The cases $q = 27$, $g = 4$, $N = 66$ and $N = 65$. Suppose C is a genus-4 curve over $\mathbb{F}_{27}$ with exactly 66 rational points. Then C has defect 2, and of the seven types of zeta function from [15] one is eliminated by Theorem 1(a) and five more are forbidden by the size of the fractional part of $2\sqrt{27}$. The only possibility remaining is $[m, m, m, m-2]$, where $m = \lfloor 2\sqrt{27} \rfloor = 10$. Theorem 1(b) tells us that C must be a double cover of either a genus-3 curve (which is impossible, by Lemma 8(b)) or of an elliptic curve whose Weil polynomial is $x^2 + (m-2)x + 27 = x^2 + 8x + 27$. In Section 6.1 we will show how the set of all genus-4 double covers of such elliptic curves can be enumerated. We will see that none of the curves has 66 points.

We show in the second Appendix that there is no genus-4 curve over $\mathbb{F}_{27}$ with exactly 65 rational points.

A genus-4 curve over $\mathbb{F}_{27}$ with 64 points is known, so we obtain the eighth entry in Table 3.

The cases $q = 27$, $5 \leq g \leq 13$. First we note that [15, Thm. 1] shows that the Weil-Serre bound cannot be met when $g \geq 3$.

Now we show that defect 2 is impossible for $g \geq 5$. For $g > 5$ this follows from Corollary 10. When $g = 5$ Corollary 9 shows that $[m, m, m, m, m-2]$ is not a possible type, and the proof of Corollary 10 shows that the only other possible type is $[m, m, m, m + \sqrt{3} - 1, m - \sqrt{3} - 1]$. But this last type is also impossible, because the fractional part of $2\sqrt{27}$ is less than $\sqrt{3} - 1$.

Finally we note that the appendix to [19] shows that the only defect-3 curves over $\mathbb{F}_{27}$ when $g \geq 9$ are of type $[m, \dots, m, m-3]$, and Corollary 14 shows that this type is impossible for $g \geq 9$.

The case $q = 27$, $g = 14$, $N = 164$. Our program eliminates this possibility.

4.10. Improvements for $q = 81$.

The cases $q = 81$, $13 \leq g \leq 35$, $g \neq 16$. From [5] and [10], we know that no defect-0 curves are possible for $13 \leq g \leq 35$, $g \neq 16$. But defect 2 and 3 are not possible either by Theorem 3, so the upper bound for these cases is at most $q + 1 + gm - 4$. When $g \geq 18$ we see from Theorem 3(c) that defect 4 is impossible as well, so our new upper bound for $18 \leq g \leq 35$ is $q + 1 + gm - 5$.

4.11. Cases where few Weil polynomials are possible. We have tried to use our program to obtain further improvements to the upper bounds listed in the van der Geer-van der Vlugt tables, but it appears that we have already picked most of the low-hanging fruit. For example, for every q listed in the tables, and for every $g \leq 10$, we have taken the best current upper bound N for $N_q(g)$ and run our program on the triple (q, g, N) . In each such case, our program indicates that there are real Weil polynomials that are not eliminated by the criteria that we built in to the program. This is not to say, however, that our methods cannot give further improvements in these cases: For instance, for $q = 27$ and $5 \leq g \leq 8$, a curve meeting the best current upper bound would have to be a triple cover of a defect-3 elliptic curve, and it might be possible to use the description of such covers given in Section 7 to enumerate all of the possible curves.

We mention just two more interesting cases. The smallest genus g for which $N_2(g)$ is not known is $g = 12$; it is known that $N_2(12)$ is either 14 or 15. Running our program on the case $q = 2$, $g = 12$, $N = 15$ took almost 18 hours using Magma 2.8 on a 2 GHz Pentium 4, and we found that there are eight possible real Weil polynomials to consider. We were unable to eliminate all of these polynomials, and we were not able to use them to direct a search for a genus-12 curve with 15 points.

On the other hand, running our program on the case $q = 4$, $g = 7$, $N = 22$ produces six candidate real Weil polynomials, and by a number of *ad hoc* arguments we were able to eliminate all but one of them from consideration. We find that if a genus-7 curve over $\mathbb{F}_4$ has 22 points, then its real Weil polynomial must be $x(x+2)^2(x+3)^3(x+4)$. Arguments along the lines of those provided in the second Appendix can be used to eliminate this possibility as well; we will provide details in a forthcoming paper.

5. A GALOIS DESCENT ARGUMENT

In Section 4.2 we showed that a genus-5 curve C over $\mathbb{F}_8$ having exactly 31 points must be a double cover of a genus-2 curve D . In this section we will use a Galois descent argument to show that the curves C and D and the degree-2 map $C \rightarrow D$ can all be defined over $\mathbb{F}_2$, and we will show how this leads to a contradiction.

Let $f_2 = x^4 + x^3 + 2x + 4$ and let $g_2 = x^2 - x + 2$. Let π be a root of f_2 in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and ρ be a root of g_2 in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Let

$$f_8 = x^4 + 7x^3 + 24x^2 + 56x + 64 \quad \text{and} \quad g_8 = x^2 + 5x + 8.$$

Note that π^3 is a root of f_8 and that ρ^3 is a root of g_8 . The arguments from Section 4.2 show that it will suffice for us to prove the following:

Proposition 15. *There is no genus-5 curve over $\mathbb{F}_8$ with Weil polynomial $f_8 g_8^3$.*

Proof. We know from Section 4.2 that any such curve must be a double cover of a genus-2 curve D with Weil polynomial f_8 . Let us first identify the curve D .

Claim. *There is exactly one principally polarized abelian surface over $\mathbb{F}_8$ with Weil polynomial equal to f_8 . It is the polarized Jacobian of the curve $y^2 + xy = x^5 + x$.*

Proof. Every such principally-polarized variety is a Jacobian, because the varieties in the isogeny class determined by f_8 are absolutely simple (see [9, Thm. 6]). By explicitly enumerating the genus-2 curves over $\mathbb{F}_8$ one finds that the curve given above is the only curve whose Jacobian has Weil polynomial f_8 . $\square$

Suppose, to get a contradiction, that C is a genus-5 curve over $\mathbb{F}_8$ with Weil polynomial $f_8 g_8^3$. Since the resultant of the real Weil polynomials associated with f_8 and g_8 is 2, Lemma 7 shows that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow A \times B \rightarrow \text{Jac } C \rightarrow 0,$$

where A and B are abelian varieties over $\mathbb{F}_8$ with Weil polynomials f_8 and g_8^3 , respectively, and where the projections $A \times B \rightarrow A$ and $A \times B \rightarrow B$ induce monomorphisms $\Delta \hookrightarrow A[2]$ and $\Delta \hookrightarrow B[2]$. Since $\text{Jac } C$ is a Jacobian and hence has a principal polarization, Lemma 7 shows that Δ is self-dual. Furthermore, Δ is nontrivial, because the principal polarization on $\text{Jac } C$ is indecomposable.

Every finite group-scheme G in characteristic p can be written as a product of four sub-group-schemes:

$$G = G_{\text{red,red}} \times G_{\text{red,loc}} \times G_{\text{loc,red}} \times G_{\text{loc,loc}},$$

where $G_{\text{red,red}}$ is a reduced group-scheme whose Cartier dual is reduced, where $G_{\text{red,loc}}$ is a reduced group-scheme whose Cartier dual is local, and so on. (See [18, §I.2].) A group-scheme of p -power rank in characteristic p can have no reduced-reduced part. Furthermore, if G is self-dual — for example, if G is the kernel of a polarization — then $G_{\text{red,loc}}$ and $G_{\text{loc,red}}$ are dual to one another.

Now, B is an ordinary abelian variety, and the kernel of multiplication-by- p on an ordinary abelian variety in characteristic p has no local-local part. Thus $B[2]$ consists of a reduced-local factor of rank 8 and a local-reduced factor of rank 8.

The variety A is not ordinary, so $A[2]$ has a local-local component. However, A has positive 2-rank, so $A[2]$ has a reduced-local component as well. The only possibility is that $A[2]$ has a reduced-local component of rank 2, a local-reduced component of rank 2, and a local-local component of rank 4.

There are supposed to be monic maps from Δ to $A[2]$ and to $B[2]$. Since Δ can be viewed as a subscheme of $B[2]$ it can have no local-local part. Thus, the monomorphism $\Delta \rightarrow A[2]$ must take Δ onto the product of the reduced-local and the local-reduced part of $A[2]$. Since Δ is self-dual, it follows that Δ has rank 4 and is the product of a rank-2 reduced-local group and a rank-2 local-reduced group.

As in the proof of Lemma 7, let μ_A and μ_B be the degree-4 polarizations on A and B that we get by pulling back the canonical polarization of $\text{Jac } C$ via the map $A \times B \rightarrow \text{Jac } C$. We know that Δ is isomorphic to $\ker \mu_A$ and to $\ker \mu_B$. The local-reduced subgroup of $\ker \mu_A$ is maximal isotropic, so the polarization μ_A on A gives rise to a principal polarization on the quotient of A by this subgroup. It follows from the claim we made above that this quotient variety is the Jacobian of the curve D .

We can make a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\mu_A} & \hat{A} \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{Jac } D & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\text{Jac } D} \end{array}$$

where the left arrow is the degree-2 isogeny $A \rightarrow \text{Jac } D$, the right arrow is the dual of this isogeny, and the bottom arrow is the canonical polarization on $\text{Jac } D$.

Now, $\text{Jac } D$ has exactly one reduced-local subgroup of order 2, and it is defined over $\mathbb{F}_2$. It is in fact the kernel of multiplication by $1 + \pi$, so $\text{Jac } D$ divided by this subgroup is geometrically isomorphic to $\text{Jac } D$. Now, the composition of the

bottom and right arrows gives an isogeny $\text{Jac } D \rightarrow \hat{A}$ whose kernel is reduced-local and of order 2. So geometrically, $\hat{A}$ is isomorphic to $\text{Jac } D$, which means that $\hat{A}$ is a twist of $\text{Jac } D$. But $\hat{A}$ is isogenous to $\text{Jac } D$ over $\mathbb{F}_8$, and the quadratic twist of $\text{Jac } D$ is not isogenous to $\text{Jac } D$ (as we can see by checking Weil polynomials), so $\hat{A}$ must be isomorphic to $\text{Jac } D$ over $\mathbb{F}_8$. It follows that A is isomorphic to $\text{Jac } D$ as well. Thus A , and the polarization μ_A , can be defined over $\mathbb{F}_2$.

On the other hand, B and the polarization μ_B can be defined over $\mathbb{F}_2$ simply because $\mathbb{Z}[\rho, \bar{\rho}] = \mathbb{Z}[\rho^3, \bar{\rho}^3]$. (This is essentially the Galois descent argument that Serre gives in [23] and in the appendix to [15].) This means that the whole diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times B & \longrightarrow & \hat{A} \times \hat{B} \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{Jac } C & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\text{Jac } C} \end{array}$$

can be descended down to $\mathbb{F}_2$.

Now we want to know whether we can have a curve C over $\mathbb{F}_2$ with Weil polynomial equal to $f_2 g_2^3$. Again we find that C must be a double cover of a genus-2 curve D with Weil polynomial f_2 . But then we find that C has 13 points over $\mathbb{F}_4$ and D has 4 points over $\mathbb{F}_4$, and this is impossible. $\square$

6. EXHAUSTIVE SEARCHES OVER SMALL SPACES

In this section we will give three examples that show how Theorem 1 can give us enough information about a curve with a certain number of points for us to have a computer look at every such curve and bound its number of rational points.

6.1. The case $q = 27$, $g = 4$, $N = 66$. We showed in Section 4.9 that a genus-4 curve over $\mathbb{F}_{27}$ with exactly 66 rational points must be a double cover of an elliptic curve with Weil polynomial $x^2 + 8x + 27$. There are exactly 4 elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_{27}$ with this Weil polynomial; one of them is defined over $\mathbb{F}_3$, and the other three are Galois conjugates of one another. Given such an elliptic curve E , we will show how the genus-4 double covers C of E can be enumerated by computer.

The function field of C must be obtained from that of E by adjoining a root of $z^2 = f$, where f is a function on E . By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, in order for C to have genus 4 the divisor of f must be of the form

$$P_1 + \cdots + P_6 + 2D,$$

where the P_i are distinct geometric points on E and where D is a divisor of degree -3 . There is a function g on E such that

$$D + \text{div } g = Q - 4\infty,$$

where ∞ is the infinite point on E and where Q is a rational point on E . Replacing f with fg^2 does not change the double cover of E . Thus, we may assume that C is given by adjoining a root of $z^2 = f$, where f is a function on E whose divisor is of the form

$$P_1 + \cdots + P_6 + 2Q - 8\infty.$$

We can also change the map $C \rightarrow E$ by following it with a translation map on E . Translating E by a rational point R has the effect of replacing f with a function

whose divisor is

$$(P_1 + R) + \cdots + (P_6 + R) + 2(Q + R) - 8R$$

(where the sums in parentheses take place in the algebraic group E). By modifying this new f by the square of a function we can get the divisor of f to be

$$(P_1 + R) + \cdots + (P_6 + R) + 2(Q - 3R) - 8\infty.$$

If we choose representatives of the classes of $E(\mathbb{F}_{27})$ modulo $3E(\mathbb{F}_{27})$, then we may assume that Q is one of these representatives. It turns out that for each of the possible curves E the group $E(\mathbb{F}_{27})/3E(\mathbb{F}_{27})$ has order 3, so for each E we need consider only 3 possible Q 's. We can choose our Q 's so that they do not lie in $E[2]$.

Let us write E in standard Weierstrass form $y^2 = x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c$ and try to write down all of the functions f as above in a standard form. There are two cases to consider, depending on whether or not any of the P_i is ∞ .

Suppose that one of the P_i is ∞ . Then f has degree 7 and its only pole is at ∞ , and f has a double zero at Q . Since Q is not a 2-torsion point by assumption, we may write $Q = (x_0, y_0)$ with $y_0 \neq 0$. Note that then $x - x_0$ is a uniformizing parameter at Q . Let f_0 be a linear polynomial that defines the tangent line to E at Q . Then up to squares f can be written as

$$f = \pm(f_1 + c_0 f_0),$$

where f_1 is a function of the form

$$(x - x_0)^2 \cdot (\text{polynomial in } x \text{ of degree } \leq 1) \\ + (x - x_0)(y - y_0) \cdot (\text{monic linear in } x).$$

Likewise, if no P_i is ∞ , then we may write $f = \pm(f_1 + c_0 f_0)$ where f_1 is a function of the form

$$(x - x_0)^2 \cdot (\text{monic quadratic in } x) \\ + (x - x_0)(y - y_0) \cdot (\text{polynomial in } x \text{ of degree } \leq 1).$$

It is not hard at all to have a computer algebra system write down all of these possible f 's for a given E .

Now our problem is to count the points on the extension of E defined by $z^2 = f$. It is easy to get an overestimate: If P is a rational point on E for which $f(P)$ is a nonzero square, then there are two rational points of C lying above P . If $f(P)$ is not a square, then there are no rational points of C above P . If P is a simple or a triple zero of f , then there is one rational point of C above P . And if P is a double zero of f , then there are at most 2 rational points of C lying above P .

What we actually did in practice for each candidate f was to:

- (1) Eliminate f from consideration if we could find more than three points P on E with $f(P)$ nonsquare;
- (2) Calculate the overestimate for $\#C(\mathbb{F}_{27})$ described above;
- (3) Discard f if the overestimate was less than 66;
- (4) Check to see that the divisor of f was of the proper form, and discard f if it was not.

No candidate f 's made it through these filters, so we never had to worry about resolving the singularities of our model for C to get an exact point count.

It took a little more than twelve hours using Magma 2.9 on a 400 MHz PowerPC G4 processor to search through all of the (E, f) pairs that we had to consider. (Our Magma program is available at the URL mentioned in the acknowledgments.) Note that we need only consider two E 's; if one of the E 's that is defined only over $\mathbb{F}_{27}$ has a double cover with 66 points, then so do all of its conjugates.

6.2. The case $q = 32$, $g = 4$, $N = 75$. We showed in Section 4.4 that a genus-4 curve over $\mathbb{F}_{32}$ with exactly 75 rational points must be a double cover of an elliptic curve with Weil polynomial $x^2 + 9x + 32$. There are exactly 5 elliptic curves over $\mathbb{F}_{32}$ with this Weil polynomial, and they are all conjugate to one another over $\mathbb{F}_2$. (If $a \in \mathbb{F}_{32}$ satisfies $a^5 + a^2 + 1 = 0$ then the elliptic curve E defined by $y^2 + xy = x^3 + x^2 + a^7$ has the correct Weil polynomial.) As in the preceding section, we can easily program a computer to enumerate the genus-4 double covers of such an elliptic curve and check to see whether any of these double covers has 75 points. The only complication is that a double cover in characteristic 2 is given by an Artin-Schreier extension of function fields instead of a Kummer extension.

Suppose C is a double cover of the curve E given above. Then the function field of C is obtained from that of E by adjoining a root of $z^2 + z = f$, where f is a function on E . The points of E that ramify in the cover $C \rightarrow E$ are contained in the set of poles of f ; to determine whether a pole P of f is a ramification point, and to determine the contribution of P to the different of the extension $C \rightarrow E$, we look at the expansion of f in the local ring of E at P . According to [27, Prop. III.7.10], if there is a function g_P such that $f + g_P^2 + g_P$ has no pole at P , then P is unramified. If there is no such function, then we can at least find a function g_P so that $f + g_P^2 + g_P$ has a pole of odd order at P . If the pole has order m , then the differential exponent of P in the extension $C \rightarrow E$ is $m + 1$.

Suppose for each pole P of f we find a function g_P as above. Then by Riemann-Roch we can find a function g on E that has poles only at ∞ and at the poles P of f and such that $g - g_P$ has no pole at P for every $P \neq \infty$. Replacing f by $f + g^2 + g$ does not change the extension $C \rightarrow E$, but it allows us to assume that f has only odd-order poles, except perhaps at infinity. By modifying f in this same way by functions with poles only at ∞ , we may also assume that if f has an even order pole at infinity, then the order of the pole is at most 2.

Now suppose that C has genus 4 and has 75 rational points. Then the Riemann-Hurwitz formula shows that there are three possible configurations for the different of $C \rightarrow E$: There are either

- (1) three points with differential exponent 2,
- (2) one point with differential exponent 2 and one with differential exponent 4,
- or
- (3) one point with differential exponent 6.

The second possibility cannot occur, because each of the ramification points would have to be rational over $\mathbb{F}_{32}$, and this would force C to have an even number of rational points.

Suppose we are in case (3). Then the one ramification point P is rational, and by following the map $C \rightarrow E$ with a translation by $-P$, we may assume that the point P is the infinite point ∞ on E . Modifying the corresponding f as above, we find that we may assume that f is a function of degree 5 whose only pole is at ∞ .

Thus we may assume that f has the shape

$$f = (ax + b)y + (cx + d)$$

where $a \neq 0$. Furthermore, by modifying f by constants of the form $e^2 + e$, we may assume that d is either 0 or 1.

Suppose we are in case (1), with ramification at P_1 , P_2 , and P_3 . Since C has an odd number of rational points, at least one of the P_i is rational. If we label this point P_3 and then translate by $-P_3$, we find that we may assume that $P_3 = \infty$. We may also assume that neither P_1 nor P_2 is the unique 2-torsion point on E (that is, the unique point with $x = 0$), because if (say) P_1 is the 2-torsion point on E , we can translate by $-P_2$ so that the ramification locus becomes $\{P_1 - P_2, \infty, -P_2\}$. Thus we may write $P_1 = (x_1, y_1)$ and $P_2 = (x_2, y_2)$ with $x_1 \neq 0$ and $x_2 \neq 0$. Then we may write f in the form

$$f = ax + b \frac{y + y_1 + x_1}{x + x_1} + c \frac{y + y_2 + x_2}{x + x_2} + d$$

where b and c are nonzero, where d is either 0 or 1, and where a is nonzero if and only if f has a pole of order 2 at ∞ . Note that if P_1 and P_2 are not defined over $\mathbb{F}_{32}$ then they are quadratic conjugates of one another, and so b and c must be quadratic conjugates of one another in order for f to be defined over $\mathbb{F}_{32}$.

It is a simple matter to count points on the curve C defined by $z^2 + z = f$, where f is as above, because we are assuming that every pole of f ramifies. So if P is a rational point on E that is a pole of f , then there is one point on C lying above P . If P is a rational point that is not a pole of f , then there are either two or zero rational points on C over P , depending on whether the trace of $f(P)$ to $\mathbb{F}_2$ is 0 or 1.

We used Magma to enumerate all of the possible f 's for one of the elliptic curves E given above. (Our Magma program is available at the URL mentioned in the acknowledgments.) For each f we counted points on the curve $z^2 + z = f$. No f gave us 75 points. Thus we verified that there is no genus-4 curve over $\mathbb{F}_{32}$ having exactly 75 rational points.

6.3. The case $q = 3$, $g = 6$, $N = 15$. In Section 4.7 we showed that there were two possible real Weil polynomials for a genus-6 curve over $\mathbb{F}_3$ having 15 points. One of the two polynomials was $(x + 2)^2(x^2 + 3x - 1)(x^2 + 4x + 2)$, and we showed that any curve C with this real Weil polynomial must be a double cover of the genus-2 curve D defined by $y^2 = x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^2 - x + 1$. We note that C has 15 places of degree 1 and 53 places of degree 5, and the proof of Lemma 8 shows that therefore a degree-1 place and a degree-5 place of D must ramify in the double cover $C \rightarrow D$. (Since the degree of the different of the cover is 6 by Riemann-Hurwitz, no other places of D can be ramified.) In this section we will show how one can make a short list of double covers of D that contains all of the genus-6 covers ramified only at a degree-1 place and a degree-5 and having 15 rational points. We will find that there are no such double covers.

Note that the automorphism group of D is cyclic of order 8, generated by the map $(x, y) \mapsto ((1 + x)/(1 - x), y/(1 - x^3))$. This group acts transitively on the rational points of D , so we may assume that the rational ramification point of the double cover $C \rightarrow D$ is our favorite rational point on D . We will choose this point to be the rational point on D that is a pole of the function x and a zero of the function $y - x^3$, which point we will denote by ∞^+ .

Let K be the function field of D and let L be the function field of C . Then there is a function f on D such that $L = K(z)$ for an element z with $z^2 = f$. Let P be the degree-5 place at which $C \rightarrow D$ ramifies. Then the divisor of f is $P - 5\infty^+ + 2E$ for some degree-0 divisor E on D . By Riemann-Roch, there is a function g on D whose divisor is $F - 2\infty^+ - E$ for some effective degree-2 divisor F . Replacing z with zg and f with fg^2 , we find that we may assume that the divisor of f is $P + 2F - 9\infty^+$ for some effective divisor F of degree 2.

The divisor F must have one of four possible shapes, each considered below. For each possibility, we had Magma check that there is no function f on E whose divisor is of the right form and that gives an extension with 15 points. (Our Magma routines for doing this are available at the URL mentioned in the acknowledgments.)

Case 1: F consists of one place of degree 2. Since D has 8 rational points and C has 15, we see that the rational points of D that do not ramify in the double cover $C \rightarrow D$ must split. Since f is nonzero at the rational points of D other than ∞^+ and since these points all split, f must evaluate to 1 at these points. It is a simple matter to enumerate all of the elements of the Riemann-Roch space $\mathcal{L}(9\infty^+)$ that evaluate to 1 at the other rational points of D , and to check that none of them has a divisor of the form $P + 2F - 9\infty^+$ for a degree-5 place P .

Case 2: F consists of two possibly equal places of degree 1, neither equal to ∞^+ . To handle this case, we consider all possible pairs of points F_1 and F_2 on D . For each pair, we have Magma enumerate the elements of $\mathcal{L}(9\infty^+)$ that vanish at F_1 and F_2 and that evaluate to 1 at the other rational points on D . For each such function, we check that its divisor is not of the form $P + 2F_1 + 2F_2 - 9\infty^+$ for a degree-5 place P .

Case 3: F consists of ∞^+ and some other degree-1 place. Now we loop over all rational points $F_1 \neq \infty^+$ of D , and consider the elements of $\mathcal{L}(7\infty^+)$ that vanish at F_1 and that evaluate to 1 at the other rational points of D . For each such function, we check that its divisor is not of the form $P + 2F_1 - 7\infty^+$ for a degree-5 place P .

Case 4: F consists of two copies of ∞^+ . For this case we must consider the elements of $\mathcal{L}(5\infty^+)$ that evaluate to 1 at the other rational points of D . It turns out that the only such function is the constant function 1.

Thus we find that there are no curves over $\mathbb{F}_3$ having real Weil polynomial $(x + 2)^2(x^2 + 3x - 1)(x^2 + 4x + 2)$.

7. TRIPLE COVERS OF ELLIPTIC CURVES IN CHARACTERISTIC 3

Note: In the original version of this paper, the results of Section 7.2 were incorrect; since we used these results in Sections 7.3 and 7.4, we have had to come up with new arguments for the results of those sections. These new arguments can be found in the second Appendix.

We therefore no longer have any need for the results of Sections 7.1 and 7.2. Nevertheless, we have decided to include the corrected versions of these sections in this version of our paper, in case the results will be useful for some other purpose. Sections 7.3 and 7.4 have been deleted.

7.1. A convenient standard form. Suppose k is a finite field of characteristic 3, suppose E is an elliptic curve over k , and suppose C is a curve over k for which there is a degree-3 map $C \rightarrow E$. We will show that C can be given in a convenient standard form. We will limit ourselves to covers $C \rightarrow E$ for which a certain assumption (stated below) holds.

Let L and K be the function fields for C and E , respectively, and view L as a degree-3 extension of K via the degree-3 map $C \rightarrow E$. Choose a generator for L over K whose trace to K is 0. Then there are functions f and g in K such that $z^3 - fz - g = 0$. Suppose we write the divisor of f in the form

$$\operatorname{div} f = P_1 + \cdots + P_n + 2D,$$

where the P_i are distinct geometric points of E (and where n is necessarily even). Note that since f is (up to squares) the discriminant of the extension L/K , the number n is the number of points of E at which the discriminant of L/K has odd valuation.

Assumption. *We will assume that n is coprime to $\#E(k)$.*

Under this assumption, there is a rational point Q on E such that $nQ = P_1 + \cdots + P_n$ in the group of points of E . By composing the given map $C \rightarrow E$ with a translation, we may assume that Q is the infinite point ∞ on E . By replacing the divisor D above with $D + (n/2)\infty$, we may write

$$\operatorname{div} f = P_1 + \cdots + P_n - n\infty + 2D$$

where the P_i are distinct geometric points on E whose sum is 0 in E . It follows that D is a degree-0 divisor, and the sum (in E) of the points in D is a k -rational 2-torsion point on E . But since $\#E(k)$ is coprime to the even number n , the only k -rational 2-torsion point on E is ∞ . Therefore D is a principal divisor, because it has degree 0 and the sum (in E) of its points is zero. Write $D = \operatorname{div} h$ for some function h . Replacing z , f , and g with z/h , f/h^2 , and g/h^3 , respectively, we find that we still have $z^3 - fz - g = 0$, but now the divisor of f is

$$\operatorname{div} f = P_1 + \cdots + P_n - n\infty.$$

If one of the P_i (say P_n) is equal to ∞ , replace n by $n - 1$ and delete the point P_n from the expression for $\operatorname{div} f$. The integer n may no longer be even, but now we have that the P_i are all distinct and that none of them is ∞ . We do at least know that n is not 1, because there is no function on E with divisor $P - \infty$.

Now suppose P is a finite place of E at which g has a pole. Suppose $\operatorname{ord}_P g$ is a multiple of 3, say $\operatorname{ord}_P g = -3m$ for some positive m . Then there is a function h on E that has poles only at P and at ∞ such that $\operatorname{ord}_P(g - h^3 + fh) > -3m$. Replacing z with $z - h$ and g with $g - h^3 + fh$, we find that we have reduced the order of the pole of g at P . Repeating this process, we find that we may assume that for every finite pole P of g , the order of g at P is not a multiple of 3.

Suppose g has a pole at ∞ , and suppose $\operatorname{ord}_\infty g$ is less than $-3n/2$. If $\operatorname{ord}_\infty g$ is a multiple of 3 and is less than -3 , then we can find a function h , with poles only at ∞ , such that $\operatorname{ord}_\infty(g - h^3 + fh) > \operatorname{ord}_\infty g$. Again we may replace z with $z - h$ and g with $g - h^3 + fh$ to reduce the order of the pole of g at ∞ . Repeating this procedure, we may assume that if $\operatorname{ord}_\infty g$ is less than $-3n/2$ and less than -3 , then $\operatorname{ord}_\infty g$ is not a multiple of 3.

Let us say a pair (f, g) of functions on E is *well-conditioned* at a point P of E if one of the following conditions holds: either

- (1) the order $\text{ord}_P g$ of g at P is not a multiple of 3, or
- (2) we have $2 \text{ord}_P g \geq 3 \text{ord}_P f$.

We have shown that every triple cover of E has a model $z^3 - fz = g$ such that f has no poles outside ∞ and no multiple zeros anywhere, and such that (f, g) is well-conditioned at every finite pole of g . Furthermore, the model can be made to satisfy the additional requirement that (f, g) be well-conditioned at ∞ , unless f is constant and g has a triple pole at ∞ .

7.2. Contributions to the different. Suppose L/K is a field extension of the type considered above, given in the standard form $z^3 - fz - g = 0$ described in the preceding section. Given a point P on E , we would like to calculate the contribution at P to the different of the extension L/K . The basic fact we will use is that if L/K is a degree-3 Artin-Schreier extension of local fields given by an equation $z^3 - z = h$, where the valuation of h is n , then the degree of the different is zero if $n \geq 0$ and is $2 - 2n$ if $n < 0$ and $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. (This follows from [27, Prop. III.7.10], for example.) Since the contribution to the different is stable under base extension, we may assume that the base field k is the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_3$.

Suppose we are given P on E with $\text{ord}_P f$ even. Note that by the way we normalized f and g , either $2 \text{ord}_P g \geq 3 \text{ord}_P f$ or $\text{ord}_P g \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, except in the case when $P = \infty$ and $\text{ord}_P g = -3$ and $\text{ord}_P f = 0$. Let us suppose we are *not* in this exceptional case. Note that if f is nonconstant we will not be in the exceptional case.

In the completion of K at P the function f is a square, say $f = s^2$ for some $s \in K_P$. Locally at P the extension L_P/K_P is given by the equation $w^3 - w = g/s^3$, and the valuation of g/s^3 is $\text{ord}_P g - (3/2)\text{ord}_P f$. Since we are not in the exceptional case, this valuation is either positive or is not a multiple of 3. Thus the contribution to the different at P is

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 3 \text{ord}_P f - 2 \text{ord}_P g \leq 0; \\ 2 + 3 \text{ord}_P f - 2 \text{ord}_P g & \text{if } 3 \text{ord}_P f - 2 \text{ord}_P g > 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular, note that when $\text{ord}_P f$ is even the contribution at P to the different is even, and is at least 4 if it is nonzero.

Suppose we are given P on E with $\text{ord}_P f$ odd. Suppose also that (f, g) is well-conditioned at P , so that either $2 \text{ord}_P g \geq 3 \text{ord}_P f$ or $\text{ord}_P g \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Then our completed extension L_P/K_P fits into a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_P & \longrightarrow & L'_P \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ K_P & \longrightarrow & K'_P \end{array}$$

where K'_P and L'_P are obtained from K_P and L_P by adjoining a square root s of f . The extension L'_P/K'_P is given by the equation $w^3 - w = g/s^3$. Let P' be the prime of K'_P . If the P' -adic valuation of g/s^3 is nonnegative (that is, if $2 \text{ord}_P g - 3 \text{ord}_P f \geq 0$) then there is no ramification in L'_P/K'_P , and so the ramification in L_P/K_P is tame. In this case the contribution at P to the different of L/K is 1. On the other hand, if $2 \text{ord}_P g - 3 \text{ord}_P f < 0$ then the P' -adic valuation of g/s^3 is negative and not a multiple of 3, so the Galois extension L'_P/K'_P is totally ramified. In particular, L'_P is a field. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the prime of L'_P lying over P . Then $P' = \mathfrak{p}^3$, the prime of L_P is $\mathfrak{p}^2$, and $P = \mathfrak{p}^6$.

Let us calculate the different of L'_P/K_P in two different ways. First of all, we note that the different of L'_P/K'_P is

$$\delta_{L'_P/K'_P} = \mathfrak{p}^{2+2m}$$

where $m = 3 \operatorname{ord}_P f - 2 \operatorname{ord}_P g$. Next, we note that the extension K'_P/K_P is tamely ramified, so its different is $\delta_{K'_P/K_P} = P' = \mathfrak{p}^3$. Likewise, the different of L'_P/L_P is $\delta_{L'_P/L_P} = \mathfrak{p}$. Thus, if the different of L_P/K_P is $\delta_{L_P/K_P} = (\mathfrak{p}^2)^n$, we have

$$\delta_{L_P/K_P} \delta_{L'_P/L_P} = \delta_{K'_P/K_P} \delta_{L'_P/K'_P},$$

so that $\mathfrak{p}^{2n+1} = \mathfrak{p}^{3+2+2m}$. It follows that the contribution n of the different of L/K at P is $n = 2 + 3 \operatorname{ord}_P f - 2 \operatorname{ord}_P g$.

Thus, when $\operatorname{ord}_P f$ is odd and (f, g) is well-conditioned at P , the contribution to the different at P is

$$\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 3 \operatorname{ord}_P f - 2 \operatorname{ord}_P g \leq 0; \\ 2 + 3 \operatorname{ord}_P f - 2 \operatorname{ord}_P g & \text{if } 3 \operatorname{ord}_P f - 2 \operatorname{ord}_P g > 0. \end{cases}$$

Now suppose P is a point for which $\operatorname{ord}_P f$ is odd and (f, g) is not well-conditioned at P . Since (f, g) is well-conditioned at infinity unless f is constant, we know that P is a finite point; furthermore, our normalization of f and g shows that $\operatorname{ord}_P f = 1$. Also, since (f, g) is well-conditioned at every finite pole of g , we see that $\operatorname{ord}_P g$ is non-negative. The only possibility is that $\operatorname{ord}_P g = 0$.

Let $c_P \in \bar{k}$ be the negative of the cube root of the value of g at P . Then $g + c_P^3 - c_P f$ has a zero at P , and $(f, g + c_P^3 - c_P f)$ is well-conditioned at P . Note that over $\bar{k}$ the triple cover $z^3 - fz = g + c_P^3 - c_P f$ is isomorphic to the triple cover $z^3 - fz = g$, so we have reduced the computation of the differential contribution to the case we considered earlier.

To summarize: If $\operatorname{ord}_P f$ is odd, let c_P be an element of $\bar{k}$ such that either $2 \operatorname{ord}_P(g + c_P^3 - c_P f) \geq 3 \operatorname{ord}_P f$ or $\operatorname{ord}_P(g + c_P^3 - c_P f) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Let $g_P = g + c_P^3 - c_P f$. Then the contribution to the different at P is

$$\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 3 \operatorname{ord}_P f - 2 \operatorname{ord}_P g_P \leq 0; \\ 2 + 3 \operatorname{ord}_P f - 2 \operatorname{ord}_P g_P & \text{if } 3 \operatorname{ord}_P f - 2 \operatorname{ord}_P g_P > 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular, when $\operatorname{ord}_P f$ is odd the contribution at P to the different is odd.

8. AN ARGUMENT ON HERMITIAN FORMS

In this section we prove a theorem of Savitt [19]:

Theorem 16. *There is no genus-4 curve over $\mathbb{F}_8$ with exactly 27 rational points.*

Proof. Suppose such a curve C existed. It has defect 2 and so we know that C must be of type $[m, m, m, m-2]$ or of type

$$[m + (-1 + \sqrt{5})/2, m + (-1 - \sqrt{5})/2, m + (-1 + \sqrt{5})/2, m + (-1 - \sqrt{5})/2],$$

where $m = 5$. Corollary 9 eliminates the first possibility, so C must be of the latter type. It follows that the Weil polynomial of C must be f^2 , where $f = x^4 - 9x^3 + 35x^2 - 72x + 64$. Our proof of Savitt's theorem is completed by the following proposition, which shows that every principal polarization of an abelian variety with Weil polynomial f^2 is decomposable. $\square$

Proposition 17. *There is exactly one abelian variety A over $\mathbb{F}_8$ with Weil polynomial f . Up to isomorphism, the variety A has exactly one principal polarization λ . Furthermore, up to isomorphism there is exactly one principally polarized abelian variety over $\mathbb{F}_8$ with Weil polynomial f^2 , and it is isomorphic to $(A \times A, \lambda \times \lambda)$.*

Let K be the quartic number field defined by the polynomial f and let $\mathcal{O}_K$ denote the ring of integers of K . Our proof of Proposition 17 will depend on a result about Hermitian forms over $\mathcal{O}_K$. We will state this result now and use it in the proof of Proposition 17, but we will postpone its proof until later in this section.

We will see that K is the totally imaginary biquadratic extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}, \sqrt{5})$ of the totally real field $K^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$; we refer to the nontrivial automorphism of K over K^+ as *complex conjugation*, and we denote the complex conjugate of $x \in K$ by $\bar{x}$. Let $M_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ denote the ring of 2-by-2 matrices over $\mathcal{O}_K$. If C is an element of $M_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ we let C^* denote its conjugate-transpose.

Proposition 18. *Suppose A is an invertible Hermitian matrix in $M_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ that is totally positive (meaning that all of the roots of its minimal polynomial are totally positive algebraic numbers). Then there is an invertible $C \in M_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ such that $A = C^*C$.*

Let us assume this result for the time being, and proceed with the proof of Proposition 17.

Proof of Proposition 17. We begin by setting some notation related to the number field K .

Let π be a root of f in K , let $\bar{\pi} = 8/\pi$, and let $R = \mathbb{Z}[\pi, \bar{\pi}]$. Let $\varphi = \pi + \bar{\pi} - 4$ and let $\zeta = 17 - 6\pi + \pi^2 - 3\bar{\pi}$. It is easy to check that then $\varphi^2 - \varphi - 1 = 0$ and $\zeta^2 + \zeta + 1 = 0$, and from these relations we see that K is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}, \sqrt{5})$ and that R is the full ring of integers of K .

It is not hard to show that the Dedekind domain R is a PID [11]; in fact, Lemma 20 below shows that R is norm-Euclidean.

Note that the middle coefficient of f is coprime to 8, so there is an isogeny class of ordinary abelian varieties over $\mathbb{F}_8$ with Weil polynomial f . In fact, according to a result of Deligne [3], the abelian varieties in this isogeny class correspond to the isomorphism classes of R -modules that can be embedded in K as lattices. Since R is the full ring of integers of K and since R has class number 1, there is exactly one such isomorphism class of R -modules, and therefore there is exactly one abelian variety A over $\mathbb{F}_8$ with Weil polynomial f . This proves the first statement of the proposition.

Theorem 1.3 of [8] shows that the abelian variety A has a principal polarization λ . Now suppose μ is another principal polarization of A . Then we know from [17, Application III, pp. 208–210] (see especially the final paragraph) that there is a totally positive unit u of the maximal real subfield K^+ of K such that $\mu = \lambda u$. But every totally positive unit of $K^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ is an even power of the fundamental unit φ , so there is a unit v of K^+ with $u = v^2 = v\bar{v}$. Then the automorphism v of A gives an isomorphism of the polarized varieties (A, λ) and (A, μ) . This proves the second statement of the proposition.

Applying Deligne's theorem again, we find that the abelian varieties over $\mathbb{F}_8$ with Weil polynomial f^2 correspond to the isomorphism classes of R -modules that can be embedded as lattices in the K -vector space $K \times K$. Since R is a Dedekind domain, such modules are determined up to isomorphism by their Steinitz classes

in the class group of R . But the class group of R is trivial, so there is only one such R -module. Thus, the only abelian variety with Weil polynomial f^2 is $A \times A$.

Now suppose that μ is a principal polarization on $A \times A$. Let α be the automorphism $\mu^{-1} \circ (\lambda \times \lambda)$ of $A \times A$. Using the results of [17, Application III, pp. 208–210] again, we see that α is fixed by the Rosati involution associated to $\lambda \times \lambda$ and that α is totally positive, meaning that all of the roots (in the algebraic closure of K) of the minimal polynomial of α are totally positive algebraic numbers. If we identify $\text{End}(A \times A)$ with the ring $M_2(R)$ of 2-by-2 matrices over R in the obvious way, then the Rosati involution is the conjugate-transpose involution, so we see that α is identified with a totally positive Hermitian matrix A of determinant 1. Thus, to show that μ is isomorphic to $\lambda \times \lambda$, we must show that every such Hermitian matrix can be written C^*C , where $C \in M_2(R)$ is nonsingular and where C^* is the conjugate transpose of C . But this is exactly the statement of Proposition 18. $\square$

Before we prove Proposition 18 we must set some notation and give a Euclidean algorithm for the ring R .

Let L be the subfield $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ of K and let $\mathcal{O}_L$ be the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ of L . Let ϕ be the real number $(1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ and let ψ_1 and ψ_2 be two distinct embeddings of K into $\mathbb{C}$ that are not complex conjugates of one another. If z is a complex number, we let $|z|$ be its magnitude and we let $\|z\|$ be its norm, so that $\|z\| = |z|^2 = z\bar{z}$.

Lemma 19. *For every x in K there is a y in R such that*

$$\text{Norm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x - y) \leq 5/9$$

and such that

$$\|\psi_i(x - y)\| \leq \phi^4/3 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Proof. Let D be the set of elements of L whose norm to $\mathbb{Q}$ is at most $1/3$. Then for every x in L there is a y in $\mathcal{O}_L$ such that $x - y$ lies in D . (It is easiest to see this by embedding L in the complex numbers, so that D becomes the intersection of L with the disk at the origin of radius $1/\sqrt{3}$. The latter disk clearly contains a fundamental region for the lattice $\mathcal{O}_L$.)

Write $x = x_1 + x_2\varphi$ for $x_1, x_2 \in L$. Choose y_1 and y_2 in $\mathcal{O}_L$ such that $x_1 - y_1$ and $x_2 - y_2$ lie in D . Let $y = y_1 + y_2\varphi$ and let $z_1 = x_1 - y_1$ and $z_2 = x_2 - y_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Norm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x - y) &= \text{Norm}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Norm}_{K/L}(z_1 + z_2\varphi)) \\ &= \text{Norm}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(z_1^2 + z_1z_2 - z_2^2) \\ &= \|\psi_1(z_1^2 + z_1z_2 - z_2^2)\| \\ &= \|d_1^2 + d_1d_2 - d_2^2\| \end{aligned}$$

where $d_1 = \psi_1(z_1)$ and $d_2 = \psi_1(z_2)$ are complex numbers that lie in the disk around the origin of radius $1/\sqrt{3}$. But an easy maximization argument shows that the maximum value of $\|d_1^2 + d_1d_2 - d_2^2\|$ for d_1, d_2 in this disk is $5/9$.

Also, $\psi_i(x - y)$ is equal to either $d_1 + d_2(1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ or $d_1 + d_2(1 - \sqrt{5})/2$, depending on the image of φ under ψ_i . Since $|d_1|$ and $|d_2|$ are both at most $1/\sqrt{3}$, we see that

$$|\psi_i(x - y)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = \frac{\phi^2}{\sqrt{3}}$$

so that $\|\psi_i(x - y)\| \leq \phi^4/3$. $\square$

We note that the $5/9$ in Lemma 19 could be reduced to $4/9$ if we used a hexagonal fundamental domain for the lattice $\mathcal{O}_L$ in place of the disk D , but doing so takes some effort and does not help much in the end.

Lemma 20. *Suppose n and d are elements of $\mathcal{O}_K$, with d nonzero. Then there are elements q and r of $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that $n = qd + r$ and such that*

$$\text{Norm}_{K/Q}(r) \leq (5/9) \text{Norm}_{K/Q}(d)$$

and

$$\|\psi_i(r)\| \leq \frac{\phi^4}{3} \|\psi_i(d)\|$$

for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. Apply Lemma 19 to $x = n/d$, and let q be the resulting y . Then let $r = n - qd$. The lemma follows from the inequalities of Lemma 19. $\square$

We are now ready to prove Proposition 18.

Proof of Proposition 18. Write

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \bar{\beta} \\ \beta & \gamma \end{bmatrix}$$

for α, γ in the ring of integers of the maximal real subfield $K^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\varphi)$ of K and for β in R . Our strategy will be to modify A by invertible matrices C (that is, to replace A with C^*AC) in order to make the norm of the upper left hand element of A as small as possible.

The determinant of A is a totally positive unit in K^+ , and so is an even power of the fundamental unit φ . By modifying A by a matrix C of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varphi^i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

we may assume that A has determinant 1. Then by modifying A by a power of the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

we can ensure that the element α of $\mathcal{O}_{K^+}$ has the property that

$$\frac{1}{\phi^2} \leq \frac{\psi_1(\alpha)}{\psi_2(\alpha)} \leq \phi^2.$$

Another way of expressing this is to say that

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{\phi^2} \leq \frac{\psi_i(\alpha)^2}{\text{Norm}_{K^+/Q}(\alpha)} \leq \phi^2 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Apply Lemma 20 with $n = \beta$ and $d = \alpha$ to get a q and an r with $\|\psi_i(r)\| \leq (\phi^4/3)\|\psi_i(\alpha)\|$ and with $\text{Norm}_{K/Q}(r) \leq (5/9)\text{Norm}_{K/Q}(\alpha)$. If we set

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\bar{q} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$$C^*AC = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \bar{r} \\ r & \gamma' \end{bmatrix}$$

for some γ' in $\mathcal{O}_K^+$. Replace β with r and γ with γ' , so that now we have

$$(2) \quad \|\psi_i(\beta)\| \leq \frac{\phi^4}{3} \|\psi_i(\alpha)\| \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2$$

and

$$(3) \quad \text{Norm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) \leq (5/9) \text{Norm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha).$$

Let $B = \beta\bar{\beta}$, so that B is an element of $\mathcal{O}_K^+$. Note that we have $\alpha\gamma - B = 1$, so

$$\psi_i(\alpha)\psi_i(\gamma) = 1 + \psi_i(B) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2$$

and

$$(4) \quad \psi_i(\gamma)/\psi_i(\alpha) = 1/\psi_i(\alpha)^2 + \psi_i(B)/\psi_i(\alpha)^2 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

Now let

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= \psi_1(B)/\psi_1(\alpha^2) \\ b_2 &= \psi_2(B)/\psi_2(\alpha^2) \\ c_1 &= 1/\psi_1(\alpha^2) \\ c_2 &= 1/\psi_2(\alpha^2) \end{aligned}$$

so that equation (4) becomes

$$\psi_1(\gamma)/\psi_1(\alpha) = b_1 + c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2(\gamma)/\psi_2(\alpha) = b_2 + c_2.$$

Multiplying these last two equalities gives

$$(5) \quad \text{Norm}_{K^+/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma/\alpha) = b_1b_2 + b_1c_2 + b_2c_1 + c_1c_2.$$

Note that

$$(6) \quad b_1b_2 = \frac{\text{Norm}_{K^+/\mathbb{Q}}(B)}{\text{Norm}_{K^+/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)^2} = \frac{\text{Norm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta)}{\text{Norm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)} \leq \frac{5}{9}$$

(where the final inequality comes from (3)) and

$$(7) \quad c_1c_2 = \frac{1}{\text{Norm}_{K^+/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha^2)}.$$

Furthermore, from inequality (1) we see that

$$(8) \quad c_1 \leq \frac{\phi^2}{\text{Norm}_{K^+/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)} \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 \leq \frac{\phi^2}{\text{Norm}_{K^+/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)},$$

and from inequality (2) we see that

$$(9) \quad b_1 = \frac{\|\psi_1(\beta)\|}{\|\psi_1(\alpha)\|} \leq \frac{\phi^4}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad b_2 = \frac{\|\psi_2(\beta)\|}{\|\psi_2(\alpha)\|} \leq \frac{\phi^4}{3}.$$

If we view b_1 , b_2 , c_1 , and c_2 as non-negative real variables subject only to the conditions expressed in equations (6), (7), (8), and (9), and if we maximize $b_1c_1 + b_2c_2$ subject to these conditions, we find that the maximum value occurs when $b_1 = \phi^4/3$ and $c_1 = \phi^2/\text{Norm}_{K^+/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)$. Thus we have

$$(10) \quad b_1c_1 + b_2c_2 \leq \frac{\phi^4}{3} \frac{\phi^2}{\text{Norm}_{K^+/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)} + \frac{(5/9)}{(\phi^4/3)} \frac{(1/\phi^2)}{\text{Norm}_{K^+/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)} \leq \frac{6.08}{\text{Norm}_{K^+/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)}.$$

Let $\epsilon = 1/\text{Norm}_{K^+/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)$. Then by combining the relations (5), (6), (7) and (10) we find that

$$\text{Norm}_{K^+/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma/\alpha) \leq \epsilon^2 + 6.08\epsilon + 5/9.$$

If $\text{Norm}_{K^+/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \geq 15$ then $\epsilon < 0.07$ and $\text{Norm}_{K^+/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma/\alpha) < 1$. Then we can modify A by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

to exchange α and γ , and this decreases the norm of the upper left hand element of A .

We repeat this procedure until we reach the point where $\text{Norm}_{K^+/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \leq 14$. Up to Galois conjugacy there are 5 possible values for α : namely, 1, 2, $2 + \varphi$, 3, and $3 + \varphi$. Inserting the appropriate values of c_1 and c_2 into equation (5) and maximizing over b_1 and b_2 , we find that we once again get $\text{Norm}_{K^+/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma/\alpha) < 1$ except when $\alpha = 1$ or $\alpha = 2$ or $\alpha = 2 + \varphi$.

Note that $1 + \beta\bar{\beta}$ is divisible by α . If $\alpha = 2 + \phi$ then there are 6 possible residue classes modulo α that β could lie in. By modifying A by a power of

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta \end{bmatrix}$$

we can arrange that $\beta = 2$. Then A must be the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 + \varphi & 2 \\ 2 & 2 + \bar{\varphi} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Modifying A by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - \varphi & 1 \\ \varphi & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

gives us the identity.

For $\alpha = 2$ there are three possible residue classes for β . By modifying A by a power of

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta \end{bmatrix}$$

we can arrange for β to be 1. Then $\gamma = 1$, so again we can reduce the norm of the upper left hand corner of A by interchanging α and γ .

We finally get to the case $\alpha = 1$. If $\alpha = 1$ then we can reduce β to be 0. Then we find $\gamma = 1$, so that we have reduced A to the identity matrix. $\square$

APPENDIX I: SAMPLE MAGMA OUTPUT

In this appendix we reproduce the output produced by our Magma program for the case $q = 4$, $g = 5$, $N = 18$.

```
Magma V2.9-10      Fri Sep 27 2002 17:22:30      [Seed = 729997397]
Type ? for help.  Type <Ctrl>-D to quit.
> load "CheckQGN.magma";
Loading "CheckQGN.magma"
Loading "DeficientPolynomialList.magma"
> CheckQGN(4,5,18);
[ 18, 0, 4, 81, 164 ]
[
  <x + 3, 3>,
  <x^2 + 4*x + 2, 1>
]
ELIMINATED: Not Weil polynomial.
```

```

[ 18, 0, 5, 74, 187 ]
[
  <x + 3, 2>,
  <x^3 + 7*x^2 + 14*x + 7, 1>
]
ELIMINATED: resultant=1 method.
Splitting = [ 1 ]

[ 18, 0, 6, 67, 210 ]
[
  <x + 1, 1>,
  <x + 2, 1>,
  <x + 3, 2>,
  <x + 4, 1>
]
ELIMINATED: resultant=2 method.
Splitting = [ 2 ]
Reasons: point counts, Riemann-Hurwitz

[ 18, 0, 6, 68, 200 ]
[
  <x + 3, 1>,
  <x^2 + 5*x + 5, 2>
]
ELIMINATED: resultant=1 method.
Splitting = [ 1 ]

[ 18, 0, 7, 60, 232 ]
[
  <x + 2, 2>,
  <x + 4, 1>,
  <x^2 + 5*x + 5, 1>
]
ELIMINATED: resultant=1 method.
Splitting = [ 1, 2 ]

[ 18, 1, 0, 86, 168 ]
[
  <x + 1, 1>,
  <x + 3, 4>
]
ELIMINATED: resultant=2 method.
Splitting = [ 1 ]
Reasons: point counts, Riemann-Hurwitz

[ 18, 1, 1, 79, 190 ]
[

```

```

    <x + 2, 1>,
    <x + 3, 2>,
    <x^2 + 5*x + 5, 1>
]
ELIMINATED: resultant=1 method.
Splitting = [ 1 ]

[ 18, 1, 2, 71, 222 ]
[
    <x + 2, 3>,
    <x + 3, 1>,
    <x + 4, 1>
]
ELIMINATED: resultant=1 method.
Splitting = [ 2 ]

> quit;

```

Total time: 4.940 seconds, Total memory usage: 9.22MB

APPENDIX II: NEW ARGUMENTS FOR TWO CASES

A new argument for the case $q = 3$, $g = 6$, $N = 15$. Suppose that C is a genus-6 curve over $\mathbb{F}_3$ with exactly 15 rational points. In Section 4.7 we showed that the real Weil polynomial of the Jacobian J of C must be

$$h = (x + 2)^2(x + 3)(x^3 + 4x^2 + x - 3).$$

Let F be the unique elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_3$ with real Weil polynomial $x + 2$, and let B be the abelian surface $F \times F$. Note that B is the only abelian surface over $\mathbb{F}_3$ with real Weil polynomial $(x + 2)^2$. We can show that there is an injection $B \hookrightarrow J$ such that the canonical polarization on J pulls back to a polarization μ on B whose degree is 9. By looking at the degree-9 polarizations of B , we see that there will be an injection $F \hookrightarrow B$ such that the pullback of μ to F is a polarization λ of degree 1 or 4. Now consider the composition

$$F \hookrightarrow B \hookrightarrow J.$$

The canonical polarization on J pulls back via this composition to the polarization λ of F , and it follows that there is a map from C to F of degree 1 or 2. Certainly there is no such map of degree 1. But there are no such maps of degree 2 either, because F has 6 rational points and C is supposed to have 15. Therefore there is no genus 6 curve over $\mathbb{F}_3$ with real Weil polynomial equal to h .

A new argument for the case $q = 27$, $g = 4$, $N = 65$. The appendix to [19] shows that a genus-4 curve over $\mathbb{F}_{27}$ with 65 rational points must have real Weil polynomial $(x + 7)(x + 10)^3$. Suppose C is such a curve, and let J be its Jacobian. Let F be the unique elliptic curve over $\mathbb{F}_{27}$ with real Weil polynomial $x + 10$. Note that F^3 is the unique abelian threefold over $\mathbb{F}_{27}$ with real Weil polynomial $(x + 10)^3$. We see from Lemma 7 that there is a degree-9 isogeny $E \times F^3 \rightarrow J$, and that the pullback to F^3 of the canonical principal polarization on J is a degree-9 polarization on F^3 . Using the knowledge of the isomorphism classes of principal

polarizations of F^3 that we obtain from Hoffmann's classification [7] of the rank-3 unimodular lattices over $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$, together with an easy argument that shows that every degree-9 polarization of F^3 is the pullback of a principal polarization on F^3 via a 3-isogeny, we can write down representatives for all of the isomorphism classes of degree-9 polarizations on F^3 . For each representative μ , we check that there is an embedding $F \hookrightarrow F^3$ such that the pullback of μ to F is a polarization of degree 1 or 4. It follows that there must be a map from C to F of degree 1 or 2. A degree-1 map would be impossible, so there must be a degree-2 map. But it is not hard to adapt the method explained in Section 6.1 to enumerate the genus-4 double covers of F , and to verify that none of them has 65 points. Therefore there is no genus-4 curve over $\mathbb{F}_{27}$ having 65 points.

REFERENCES

- [1] WIEB BOSMA, JOHN CANNON, AND CATHERINE PLAYOUST: The Magma algebra system. I. The user language. *J. Symbolic Comput.* **24** (1997) 235–265.
- [2] IRENE I. BOUW: The p -rank of curves and covers of curves, pp. 267–277 in: *Courbes semi-stables et groupe fondamental en géométrie algébrique* (Jean-Benoît Bost, François Loeser, and Michel Raynaud, eds.), Progr. Math. **187**, Birkhäuser, Basel 2000.
- [3] P. DELIGNE: Variétés abéliennes ordinaires sur un corps fini, *Invent. Math.* **8** (1969) 238–243.
- [4] STEPHEN A. DIPPO AND EVERETT W. HOWE: Real polynomials with all roots on the unit circle and abelian varieties over finite fields, *J. Number Theory* **73** (1998) 426–450. Corrigendum, *J. Number Theory* **83** (2000) 182. [arXiv:math.NT/9803097](#).
- [5] RAINER FUHRMANN AND FERNANDO TORRES: The genus of curves over finite fields with many rational points, *Manuscripta Math.* **89** (1996) 103–106.
- [6] GERARD VAN DER GEER AND MARCEL VAN DER VLUGT: Tables of curves with many points, *Math. Comp.* **69** (2000) 797–810.
- [7] DETLEV W. HOFFMANN: On positive definite Hermitian forms, *Manuscripta Math.* **71** (1991) 399–429.
- [8] EVERETT W. HOWE: Principally polarized ordinary abelian varieties over finite fields, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **347** (1995) 2361–2401.
- [9] EVERETT W. HOWE AND HUI JUNE ZHU: On the existence of absolutely simple abelian varieties of a given dimension over an arbitrary field, *J. Number Theory* **92** (2002) 139–163. [arXiv:math.AG/0002205](#).
- [10] GÁBOR KORCHMÁROS AND FERNANDO TORRES: On the genus of a maximal curve, *Math. Ann.* **323** (2002) 589–608. [arXiv:math.AG/0008202](#).
- [11] RICHARD B. LAKEIN: Euclid's algorithm in complex quartic fields, *Acta Arith.* **20** (1972) 393–400.
- [12] KRISTIN LAUTER: Improved upper bounds for the number of rational points on algebraic curves over finite fields, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **328** (1999) 1181–1185.
- [13] KRISTIN LAUTER: Non-existence of a curve over $\mathbb{F}_3$ of genus 5 with 14 rational points, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000) 369–374.
- [14] KRISTIN LAUTER: Zeta functions of curves over finite fields with many rational points, pp. 167–174 in: *Coding Theory, Cryptography, and Related Areas* (Johannes Buchmann, Tom Høholdt, Henning Stichtenoth, Horacio Tapia-Recillas, eds.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2000.
- [15] KRISTIN LAUTER WITH AN APPENDIX BY J-P. SERRE: Geometric methods for improving the upper bounds on the number of rational points on algebraic curves over finite fields, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **10** (2001) 19–36. [arXiv:math.AG/0104247](#).
- [16] KRISTIN LAUTER WITH AN APPENDIX BY J-P. SERRE: The maximum or minimum number of rational points on genus three curves over finite fields, *Compositio Math.* **134** (2002) 87–111. [arXiv:math.AG/0104086](#).
- [17] DAVID MUMFORD: *Abelian Varieties*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics **5**, Oxford University Press, Oxford 1985.
- [18] F. OORT: *Commutative group schemes*, Lecture Notes in Math. **15**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1966.

- [19] DAVID SAVITT WITH AN APPENDIX BY KRISTIN LAUTER: The maximum number of rational points on a curve of genus 4 over $\mathbb{F}_8$ is 25, *Canad. J. Math.* **55** (2003) 331–352. [arXiv:math.NT/0201226](#).
- [20] JEAN-PIERRE SERRE: Sur le nombre des points rationnels d'une courbe algébrique sur un corps fini, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **296** (1983) 397–402; = Œuvres [128].
- [21] JEAN-PIERRE SERRE: Nombres de points des courbes algébriques sur $\mathbb{F}_q$, *Sém. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* 1982/83, Exp. No. 22; = Œuvres [129].
- [22] JEAN-PIERRE SERRE: Résumé des cours de 1983–1984, *Ann. Collège France* (1984) 79–83; = Œuvres [132].
- [23] JEAN-PIERRE SERRE: *Rational points on curves over finite fields*, unpublished notes by Fernando Q. Gouvêa of lectures at Harvard University, 1985.
- [24] CARL LUDWIG SIEGEL: The trace of totally positive and real algebraic integers, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **46** (1945) 302–312.
- [25] CHRISTOPHER SMYTH: Totally positive algebraic integers of small trace, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **33** (1984) 1–28.
- [26] H. M. STARK: On the Riemann hypothesis in hyperelliptic function fields, pp. 285–302 in: *Analytic number theory* (Harold G. Diamond, ed.), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **24**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1973.
- [27] HENNING STICHTENOTH: *Algebraic Function Fields and Codes*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1993.
- [28] KARL-OTTO STÖHR AND JOSÉ FELIPE VOLOCH, Weierstrass points and curves over finite fields, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **52** (1986), 1–19.
- [29] DORÉ SUBRAO: The p -rank of Artin-Schreier curves, *Manuscripta Math.* **16** (1975) 169–193.
- [30] JOHN TATE: Classes d'isogénie des variétés abéliennes sur un corps fini, Exp. No. 352, pp. 95–110 in: *Séminaire Bourbaki 1968/69*, Lecture Notes in Math. **179**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1971.
- [31] MICHAEL E. ZIEVE: Improving the Oesterlé bound, preprint.

CENTER FOR COMMUNICATIONS RESEARCH, 4320 WESTERRA COURT, SAN DIEGO, CA 92121-1967, USA.

E-mail address: however@alumni.caltech.edu

URL: <http://alumni.caltech.edu/~however/>

MICROSOFT RESEARCH, ONE MICROSOFT WAY, REDMOND, WA 98052, USA.

E-mail address: klauter@microsoft.com